



OCCASION

This publication has been made available to the public on the occasion of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations Industrial Development Organisation.

TOGETHER

for a sustainable future

DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced without formal United Nations editing. The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. Designations such as "developed", "industrialized" and "developing" are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment about the stage reached by a particular country or area in the development process. Mention of firm names or commercial products does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO.

FAIR USE POLICY

Any part of this publication may be quoted and referenced for educational and research purposes without additional permission from UNIDO. However, those who make use of quoting and referencing this publication are requested to follow the Fair Use Policy of giving due credit to UNIDO.

CONTACT

Please contact <u>publications@unido.org</u> for further information concerning UNIDO publications.

For more information about UNIDO, please visit us at www.unido.org





Industrial energy efficiency in developing countries: A background note



UNITED NATIONS INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION

DEVELOPMENT POLICY, STATISTICS AND RESEARCH BRANCH WORKING PAPER 03/2011

Industrial energy efficiency in developing countries: A background note

Mallory Compton Overseas Development Institute



UNITED NATIONS INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION Vienna, 2011

Acknowledgements

This working paper was prepared by Mallory Compton, Overseas Development Institute, as background material for the UNIDO Industrial Development Report 2011, under the supervision of Ludovico Alcorta, Director, Development Policy, Statistics and Research Branch, UNIDO.

The designations employed, descriptions and classifications of countries, and the presentation of the material in this report do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries, or its economic system or degree of development. The views expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the views of the Secretariat of the UNIDO. The responsibility for opinions expressed rests solely with the authors, and publication does not constitute an endorsement by UNIDO. Although great care has been taken to maintain the accuracy of information herein, neither UNIDO nor its member States assume any responsibility for consequences which may arise from the use of the material. Terms such as "developed", "industrialized" and "developing" are intended for statistical convenience and do not necessarily express a judgment. Any indication of, or reference to, a country, institution or other legal entity does not constitute an endorsement. Information contained herein may be freely quoted or reprinted but acknowledgement is requested. This report has been produced without formal United Nations editing.

This document reflects work in progress and, as such, has been edited neither in language nor in style. Its distribution is limited for the purposes of eliciting comments and reviews only.

Table of contents

cutive summary	. v			
Introduction	. 1			
Definitions, methods and trends	. 2			
Causal effects of industrial energy efficiency on economic growth - benefits				
of industrial energy efficiency	. 6			
Barriers to entry of energy efficient technologies in industry	13			
Conclusions	17			
References				
endix	22			
	Putive summary Introduction Definitions, methods and trends Causal effects of industrial energy efficiency on economic growth – benefits of industrial energy efficiency Barriers to entry of energy efficient technologies in industry Conclusions rences			

List of figures

Figure 1	Energy intensity of industry	4
Figure 2	Primary energy intensity by sector (1990 and 2006)	5
Figure 3	Energy efficiency in Africa, as a region	5
Figure 4	Trends in final energy intensity and GDP per capita (1990-2006)	6
Figure 5	Barriers to investment and policy solutions 1	6

List of boxes

Box 1	What is energy productivity?
Box 2	Cost effectiveness of general energy efficiency measures
Box 3	Generalized model for developing new energy efficiency
	investment delivery mechanisms in developing countries

List of tables

Table 1	Direct firm-level benefits of increased industrial energy-use efficiency	9
Table 2	Indirect benefits of increased industrial energy-use efficiency	
	and increased productivity	. 1
Table 3	Barriers to investment in efficient technologies in relevant industries	
	in developing countries	.4

Executive summary

Improved industrial energy efficiency has many potential benefits, yet an optimal level of investment in efficient technologies is not achieved due to a variety of barriers. This report provides a literature review on this particular issue. We first provide an overview of various definitions, methods and current trends in industrial energy efficiency worldwide. We then discuss the benefits of energy efficiency through the various linkages between energy efficiency and productivity at the firm level, and between energy efficiency and growth at the macro level. We also summarize the literature on the barriers to investment in industrial energy efficiency. The appendix contains detailed findings and facts.

Though there are several definitions of energy efficiency measures, each with its respective strengths and weaknesses, most studies use a measure of energy intensity or the inverse, energy productivity.

Despite the clear benefits in theory, there is no clear consensus on the evidence linking energy efficiency and macroeconomic growth. There is evidence of a link between efficiency and firmlevel productivity in the developed world, but little evidence exists from developing countries. Even in the developed world, where data are widely available, there is a lack of "both time series and plant level data on the appropriate mix of inputs by which we might more accurately assess the productivity impacts" (Worrell et al 2001:15). Many reports assert linkages between energy efficiency and benefits without clear evidence, thus clouding the discussion with uncertainty and ambiguity. There is also a lack of information on the cost effectiveness of industrial efficiency investments in developing countries.

Some of the most often cited barriers to investment in industrial energy efficiency, particularly in developing countries, include informational barriers on available benefits, for example, financial barriers such as an absence of credit, high risk of new technology, high transaction costs, shortage of sufficiently trained staff to implement new technologies and an absence of adequate policy and contracting institutions at the national level to encourage investment. One constraining factor in this field of study is the lack of firm-level data from developing countries. The most relevant studies of developing countries on this subject use aggregated numbers; only a few scattered case-studies deal with micro-level data. There is a plethora of literature on potential benefits of improved productivity, but there seems to be no empirical or theoretical consensus on magnitude of benefit or mechanism for realizing them. The contradictions in empirical studies indicate the variation of conditions across countries that the relationship between productivity and economic growth is heterogeneous.

1 Introduction

Demand for energy is rising worldwide at an unsustainable rate. The IEA's 2008 *World Energy Outlook* reference scenario estimates that world primary energy demand will grow 1.6 percent per year on average between 2006 and 2030 to an overall increase of 45 percent. The majority of this growth will take place in developing countries, 87 percent of the projected increase in demand will come from non-OECD countries; 50 percent of total demand comes from China and India alone (IEA, 2008).

In terms of the global potential for increased energy productivity, the McKinsey Global Institute determines that 65 percent of all available positive return opportunities for investment are located in developing regions (Farrell and Remes, 2009:2). An estimated investment of US\$ 90 billion in the next twelve years could save these developing countries US\$600 billion by 2020 in energy savings per year (Farrell and Remes, 2009:2). This investment of US\$ 90 billion is projected to be only half of the required investment to keep up with energy demand growth without improved efficiency measures (Farrell and Remes, 2009:2). Not only in these developing countries, but at the global level as well, industrial efficiency improvements to produce more economic output with less energy input is essential for reasons of energy supply security, economic competitiveness through improved industry profitability, improvement in livelihoods and environmental sustainability (Taylor et al, 2008:3).

Achieving greater economic output per unit of energy input can either be achieved from changes in economic structure or through technical energy efficiency gains. This report focuses on the benefits and barriers to technical energy efficiency gains, specifically in industry. Of the total global potential for efficiency gains in industrial sectors, 80 percent of the opportunities lie in developing countries (Farrell et al, 2008:13). This large potential is attributable to a number of factors, including "the larger scope to increase energy productivity in low-efficiency legacy assets in a number of regions [...] and the fact that lower labor costs reduce capital requirements for many initiatives and make a broader set of actions on energy productivity economically viable" (Farrell et al 2008:13).

Improved industrial energy efficiency has many potential benefits, yet optimal investment in efficient technologies is not taking place due to a variety of obstacles. This report seeks to provide an overview and literature review to contribute to the discussion and research. The following section is an overview of various definitions, methods and current trends in industrial energy efficiency worldwide. Section three is a literature review of the suggested benefits

through the various linkages between energy efficiency and productivity at the firm level and between energy efficiency and growth at the macro level. Section four summarizes cited barriers to industrial energy efficiency. The extended Appendix is a summation of various relevant findings and facts from literature which are too broadly defined for inclusion in the report. The sections of the appendix correspond to the topics covered in Sections 2 through 4 of this report.

2 Definitions, methods and trends

Though there are several definitions of energy efficiency measures, "energy intensity measures are often used to measure energy efficiency and its change over time [...]. Energy-intensity measures are at best a rough surrogate for energy efficiency. This is because energy intensity may mask structural and behavioural changes that do not represent "true" efficiency improvements" (EIA, 2003). Energy intensity is simply a ratio of energy input to industrial output; an economic-thermodynamic type of efficiency measure. "In comparison to the application of thermal efficiency measurement, indices of energy consumption can be used to assess and compare energy performance for a broader set of objects: processes, factories, companies, and even countries" (Tanaka, 2008a:7). Most studies use a measure of energy intensity, or the inverse, energy productivity.

Industrial output can be measured using some sort of common physical unit at lower levels of aggregation, but will necessarily be measured in economic value taking account of purchasing power parity at economic or national levels of aggregation. It is well noted in the literature that even at the 2-digit SIC level of industrial classification, common physical output measures are not possible. There are a number of ways to measure output of industry but "it seems that value of production is the most desirable value-based output measure for use in an indicator of energy intensity" (Freeman, Niefer, & Roop, 1997:713). Differences between intensity measures using volume and those using value-based output may be attributable to measurement errors in price indexes, errors in industry specialization and coverage, or industry redefinitions (Freeman, Niefer, & Roop, 1997). Additional methodological issues (valuation & value judgements, energy quality problems, boundary problem, joint production problem, technical or gross energy efficiency) are summarized in Patterson (1993), and are not unique to energy intensity as a measure of efficiency.

Box 1 What is energy productivity?

Energy productivity is a useful tool with which to analyze the public-policy aims of demand abatement and energy efficiency because it encapsulates both. By looking merely in terms of shrinking demand, we are in danger of denying opportunity to consumers—particularly those in developing economies, an increasingly dominant force in global energy demand growth. Rather than seeking explicitly to reduce end-use demand, we should focus on using the benefits of energy in the most productive way.

Like labor or capital productivity, energy productivity measures the output and quality of goods and services generated with a given set of inputs. We measure energy productivity as the ratio of value added to energy inputs, which today is \$79 billion of GDP per QBTU of energy inputs globally. This is the inverse of the energy intensity of GDP, measured as a ratio of energy inputs to GDP. This currently stands at 12,600 BTUs of energy consumed per dollar of output.

When identifying opportunities for energy productivity improvements, we focus on changes that rely on currently existing technologies, have an IRR of 10 percent or more, and avoid compromising the comfort or convenience valued by consumers. Our exclusive focus on economic opportunities means that making these investments would benefit the economy by freeing up resources to increase consumption or investment elsewhere.

Source: Farrell et al, 2008:12

If dealing with economic or industry-wide data, it is also possible to use a decomposition method. Applying the Laspeyres factorial decomposition method, energy use is decomposed into an activity effect, structural effect and an intensity effect; each is measured by keeping the other two constant (EIA, 2003). The commonly preferred index, however, is the Divisia index (Liu & Ang, 2007). This approach may be used to decompose time trends into different factors, such as structural factors and intensity, to measure energy savings over time, and uses time trend data (EIA, 2003). "Index decomposition analysis is the most rigorous technique currently available to address the issues of energy efficiency performance and to track its trend at the industry-wise or economy-wide level" (Liu & Ang, 2007:612). An improvement on the Divisia decomposition method is developed in Bor (2008).



Industrial Energy Efficiency Trends Over time

The chart above demonstrates the trend in industrial energy intensity over the last two and a half decades (WEC, 2008). It is clear that the trends differ between the various regions. The global trend reveals decreasing energy intensity, which is to say an improvement in efficiency. Some regions, however, such as Latin America, Africa and the Middle East demonstrate a rise in intensity.

This second chart (below) shows that although total primary energy intensity is decreasing in almost all regions, energy intensity is static or increased between 1990 and 2006 in others. In developing countries, residential energy savings drive the reductions in aggregate energy intensity decline, largely by a substitution of modern fuel for traditional ones.

Source: WEC, 2008:26



Figure 2 Primary energy intensity by sector (1990 and 2006)

Source: WEC, 2008:22

Figure 3	Energy	Efficiency	in Africa,	as a	region

Energy Efficiency Indicators	Units	1980	1990	2000	2007
Key Indicators					
Primary energy intensity (at purchasing power parities (ppp))	koe/\$05p	0.248	0.270	0.272	0.246
Primary energy intensity excluding traditional fuels (ppp)	koe/\$05p	0.122	0.143	0.144	0.136
Primary energy intensity adjusted to EU structure (ppp)	koe/\$05p	0.139	0.167	0.200	0.192
Final energy intesnity (at ppp)	koe/\$05p	0.189	0.186	0.185	0.166
Final energy intensity at 2005 GDP structure (ppp)	koe/\$05p	0.115	0.122	0.140	0.136
Final energy intensity adjusted to EU economic structure (ppp)	koe/\$05p	0.104	0.119	0.143	0.137
CO2 intensity (at ppp)	kCO2/\$05p	n.a.	0.433	0.425	n.a.
CO2 emissions per capita	tCO2/cap	n.a.	0.980	0.960	n.a.
Industry					
Energy intensity of industry (to value added) (at ppp)	koe/\$05p	0.156	0.152	0.135	0.120
Energy intensity of manufacturing (at ppp)	koe/\$05p	0.346	0.320	0.280	0.260
Unit consumption of steel	toe/t	11.160	0.800	0.590	0.400
CO2 intensity of industry (to value added) (at ppp)	kCO2/\$05p	n.a.	0.320	0.275	n.a.
CO2 emissions of industry per capita	tCO2/cap	n.a.	0.200	0.170	n.a.

Source: http://www.worldenergy.org/documents/afriq_1.pdf

"Industry is the main sector driving energy intensity reduction in industrialized countries. In developing countries and regions, on the other hand, households are the main drivers. In China and the CIS, energy productivity improvements were almost equally driven by industry, energy conversion and households" (WEC, 2008:95). "If what has happened in industrial countries is indicative of future developments of the developing countries, in particular the high income ones, then it would be expected that the aggregate energy intensities of these countries will

likely stabilize and/or decline as a result of the impacts from energy intensity change" (Liu & Ang, 2007:631). There is some evidence of convergence in energy productivity growth levels across developed and developing countries, which is conditional on country specific factors (Miketa & Mulder, 2005).

Though representing total economy energy intensity and limited in its representation of countries, the following chart is useful in identifying aggregate trends:





Source: WEC, 2008:23

3 Causal effects of industrial energy efficiency on economic growth – benefits of industrial energy efficiency

The direction of causality in the relationship between economic growth and energy use is unclear. Theoretically, neo-classical and endogenous theories both suggest that energy use and efficiency are drivers of economic growth. Though there are many studies that find a direct relationship between productivity and energy efficiency in the industrialized world (see Worrell et al 2001), evidence from the developing world remains inconclusive. Few disaggregated studies have been conducted on this issue and the studies using data aggregated at the national or economic level indicate mixed findings. As quoted in Mishra et al (2009:212), Mehrara (2007:2940) states:

[W]hen it comes to whether energy use is a result of, or a perquisite for, economic growth, there are no clear trends in the literature. Depending on the methodology, used, and country and time period studied, the direction of causality between energy consumption and economic variables has remained empirically elusive and controversial.

Further complicating the relationship is the extent to which economic growth and energy consumption can theoretically be decoupled, a question raised by ecological economists who argue thermodynamic laws limit such division. Below is a brief review of the various theories on the relationship between energy consumption, energy efficiency and economic growth, followed by a summary of a select list of empirical studies, and finally, a review of the main arguments and claims made by various institutions on the matter.

Theory

By incorporating energy end-use efficiency gains into a Cobb-Douglas production function, Wei (2007) theorizes about short-term and long-term effects of increased energy efficiency beginning with the production function specification:

$$X = a K_x^{\alpha} L_x^{\beta} (\tau E_x)^{1-\alpha-\beta}.$$
 (eq. 1)

Here, X is defined as gross output, K and L are specifications of capital stock and labour supply, respectively, and E is some measure of energy use, all of which are specified by input factor x. τ is a technological parameter, the increase of which indicates an improvement in energy efficiency. In the short term, energy use efficiency is found to lower the cost of non-energy and increase the output of non-energy goods. A 100 percent rebound effect is evident such that in the short term, energy efficiency gains have no effect on absolute energy use. In the long term, the impact on non-energy output of energy end use efficiency is positive. The long-term impact of energy use efficiency on total energy use is lower than the short-term impact. Wei also finds that energy use efficiency will increase real energy price in the long term.

Van Zon and Yetkiner modify the Romer model to include energy consumption of intermediates and to make them heterogeneous due to endogenous energy-saving technical change (2003). They find that economic growth rate positively depends on the rate of embodied energy-saving technical change, and that it also depends negatively on the rate of growth of real

energy prices, implying that continuously rising real energy prices will tend to slow growth. Embodied technical change includes improvements in energy efficiency, thus positively linking improvements in energy efficiency to economic growth. They conclude that in an environment of rising energy prices, recycling energy tax proceeds in the form of R&D is necessary for both energy efficiency growth and output growth.

Sorrell (2009) highlights the conflict between those known as "conventional economists" and as "ecological economists" with regard to the effect of energy on growth. "The conventional wisdom (as represented by both neoclassical and 'endogenous' growth theory) is that increases in energy inputs play a relatively minor role in economic growth, largely because energy accounts for a relatively small share of total costs" (Sorrell 2009:1460). This view has been contested by ecological economists, who argue instead that the "increased availability of 'high quality' energy inputs has been the primary driver of economic growth over the last two centuries" (Sorrell 2009:1460). Ockwell further discusses this divide between conventional and ecological economics: "[...] for ecological economists, energy is a fundamental factor enabling economic production. Some commentators even argue that energy availability actually drives economic growth, as opposed to economic growth resulting in increased energy use (e.g. Cleveland et al., 1984). From this perspective, the possibility of decoupling energy use from economic growth seems more limited" (2008:4601). A challenge to the resolution of this debate is the absence of empirical consensus. "Sufficient empirical evidence does not yet exist to provide conclusive support for the claims of either the ecological or neo-classical schools of thought. Breaking down the evidence that does exist suggests that observed improvements in GDP/energy use ratios may be better explained by shifts towards higher quality fuels than by improvements in the energy efficiency of technologies" (Ockwell 2008:4604).

Empirical

Many studies on the link between aggregated energy efficiency/energy use and economic growth in the developing world have mixed results and unclear findings (Akinlo 2008; Mishra et al 2009; Lee and Chang 2008). While many studies from developed countries exist, only a handful of case studies in the developing world have attempted to identify the link between firm level energy use efficiency and productivity.

Table 1 below represents the direct firm-level benefits of greater energy use efficiency in industry. The list is based on a survey of 77 case studies of manufacturing firms from six OECD countries. When all of the savings (energy and productivity/non-energy) are

incorporated, the average payback period for efficiency improvement projects is 1.9 years for this sample of case studies. When calculating energy savings only, the payback period is 4.2 years. Some benefits such as those involving valuation of emissions reductions and the work environment are subject to some measurement error. It must be noted that the results of these case studies are derived from developed economies' industrial sectors.

Waste		Emissions		Operation and Maintenance	
 Us gas Re Re Re Ma 	se of waste fuels, heat, s educed product waste educed waste water educed hazardous waste aterials reduction	-	Reduced dust emissions Reduced CO, CO2, NOx, SOx emissions		Reduced need for engineering controls Lowered cooling requirements Increased facility reliability Reduced wear and tear on equipment/machinery Reductions in labour requirements
Producti	ion	Wor	king Environment	Othe	er
 Inconstruction Imperimentation Sh tin Immentation Immentation	creased product tput/yields aproved equipment rformance corter process cycle nes aproved product lality/parity creased reliability in oduction		Reduced need for personal protective equipment Improved lighting Reduced noise levels Improved temperature control Improved air quality		Decreased liability Improved public image Delayed or reducing capital expenditures Additional space Improve worker morale

 Table 1
 Direct firm-level benefits of increased industrial energy use efficiency

Source: Worrell et al, 2001:2

A study of a US glass manufacturing subsector found support for a strong statistical link between energy intensity and productivity and of the resultant non-energy benefits (Boyd 2000). However, they find that the effects are industry specific. Whether or not the relationship is proportional depends on the industry subsector.

Adenikinju and Alaba (1999) sought to quantify the link between energy use and productivity performance in the Nigerian manufacturing sector. Their data covers 1970–1990 and was collected and provided by the Federal Office of Statistics; most variables are defined at the firm level with the exception of energy consumption which is defined at the industry level. They find a positive relationship between total factor productivity growth and energy consumption for

most industries. Heavily subsidized energy prices encouraged industry over this period to depend on cheap energy for growth; industry therefore grew to be reliant on old and energy-inefficient technologies. Increasing energy prices would likely encourage energy efficiency investments, though a drastic increase in prices over a short period of time would risk mass firm shutdowns.

A survey of small-scale bricks and foundry clusters in India found a negative relationship between energy intensities and factor productivities. Using data created and collected for this study, Subrahmanya (2006) finds that those enterprises which utilize energy more productively are likely to use labour and capital more productively as well, although it may not lead to greater value addition in the process. The analysis reveals that for energy intensive clusters, greater energy use efficiency enables greater economization of production costs and the achievement of higher productivities and greater competitiveness. Basically, the competiveness of small enterprises in energy-intensive industries can be enhanced by improving their energy efficiency through reductions in energy intensity.

The following chart categorizes some of the most often cited benefits of improved energy efficiency. These are empirical claims published in a World Bank study, a McKinsey Global Institute report and other various research reports; these cited benefits are empirical, and are not solely based on theoretical grounds. Authors sometimes establish links between efficiency and benefit without describing the mechanism, leaving the connection less clear; these more ambiguous linkages are indicated by an asterisk in the table. A notable difference between Table 1 and 2 is the claim by Worrell et al. (2001) that greater energy efficiency will lead to reductions in labour requirements at the firm level, but some sources claim (as in Table 2) that overall employment would increase due to increased productivity and resulting growth.

Benefit	Source	Justification
More economic	Taylor et al (2008), Semboya	This is particularly important in regions
output without	(1994), UNDP (2006), McKane	where electricity and energy supply are
requiring additional,	et al (2007), Adenikinju & Alaba	constrained, such as in many African and
possibly constrained,	(1999); Boyd & Pang (2000)	Asian countries. Not only will greater output
energy supply – firm		be feasible without increasing energy
and national level		demand, but less investment will be
benefit		necessary in energy production capacity
		(WEC 2008:9).
Lower	UNDP-Kenya (2006), Farrell	"Costs vary among technologies and
production/energy	and Remes (2009), Semboya	countries where energy efficiency measures
costs – at the firm	(1994), WEC (2008), McKane et	are implemented, but often are only one-
level	al. (2007), Subrahmanya (2006)	quarter to one-half the comparable costs of
		acquiring additional energy supply" (Taylor
		et al. 2008:27).
Economic	Taylor et al. (2008), UNDP-	At the firm level, higher efficiency will
competitiveness	Kenya (2006), Semboya (1994),	improve competitiveness via lower costs.
(through lower	WEC (2008), Surahmanya	
prices) – national and	(2006)	
firm level benefit		
Creates jobs	UNDP-Kenya (2006), IEA	By increasing use of high-tech efficient
(indirectly) *	(2009),	machinery, high-skill technicians will be in
		more demand. Also, by improving
		competitiveness, presumably the firm will
		grow and be able to employ more workers.
Improvement in	Taylor et al (2008), UNDP-	Poverty is reduced by an increase in jobs.
livelihoods/ reduce	Kenya (2006), WEC (2008)	
poverty*		
Energy supply/price	Taylor et al (2008), UNDP-	Particularly for oil importing countries (WEC
security and reduced	Kenya (2006), World Bank	2008:105).
uncertainty*	(2006), IEA (2009), WEC	
	(2008), McKane et al (2007),	
	Farrell and Remes (2009)	
Environmental	Taylor et al (2008), World Bank	"Energy efficiency is favored in
sustainability	(2006), IEA (2009), UNDP	environmental improvement strategies
	(2006), WEC (2008) – extends	because it reduces the need for energy
	availability of fossil resources	development, transportation and distribution,
		onsite use, and all the associated
		environmental impacts" (Taylor et al.
		2008:27)
Reduce import bill	UNDP-Kenya (2006), Semboya	"[E]nergy imports are replaced (in many
(nationally)	(1994), UNDP (2000);	countries) by domestically produced energy-
	Adenikinju & Alaba (1999);	efficient products and (energy) services"
		(UNDP 2000:185). Greater industrial outputs
	and improve balance of trade:	can increase exports.
	UNDP-Kenya (2006), Semboya	
	(1994), WEC (2008), Adenikinju	
	& Alaba (1999)	

Table 2 Indirect benefits of increased industrial energy use efficiency and increased productivity

Much effort has been devoted to understanding the existence and scope of potential "rebound" effects of the Jevon's/Khazzom-Brooks variety, which asserts that efficiency improvements may not necessarily result in proportional decreases in total energy use. Sorrell and Dimitropoulos (2008) find that direct rebound effects may be larger for producers (industry) than for households. They also find that the effects may be larger in developing countries. Ockwell (2008) also asserts that rebound effects (generally not specific to industry) will be greater in developing countries. Direct rebound effects are defined as an increase in consumption of an energy input as the price of that input decreases with increased efficiency. Madlener et al. conclude their summary of debates on the rebound effect by determining that "increases in energy efficiency are no panacea for either energy conservation or economic growth and welfare" (2009:9).

Overall, there is no clear consensus on the evidence and theory linking aggregated growth and energy efficiency. There is evidence of a link between efficiency and firm-level productivity in the developed world, but little evidence from developing countries exists. Even in the developed world, where data is much more available, there is a lack of "both time series and plant level data on the appropriate mix of inputs by which we might more accurately assess the productivity impacts" (Worrell et al 2001:15). Many reports assert linkages between energy efficiency and benefits without clear evidence, thus clouding the discussion with uncertainty and ambiguity. A clear idea of the cost effectiveness of industrial efficiency investments for the developing world are lacking. The box below comes from a World Bank report and provides helpful insights on the topic, though not specific to developing countries or industrial investments.

Box 2 Cost effectiveness of general energy efficiency measures

A survey of 455 energy efficiency investments implemented in 11 industrialized and developing countries shows that the cost per unit of energy saved (present value over lifetime of the investment of 10 years) is on average US\$76 per toe or US\$11 per barrel of oil (in year 2006 U.S. dollars). This compares very favorably with the prevailing market price of energy, for example, more than US\$60 per barrel of oil (in 2006 U.S. dollars). The fgure below shows the wide range of cost effectiveness of various technologies. Still, more than 80 percent of the projects surveyed recovered their investment costs through energy cost savings within 30 months. Even one of the least cost-effective types of energy effciency investments from the sample, in buildings, has life-cycle costs (8.6 U.S. cents per kWh over a 10-year lifetime) that are substantially below the costs that most final consumers have to pay for electricity. Not surprisingly, investments in countries such as India or China tend to be far more cost-effective than in industrialized countries.



Source: Shi 2007 in Taylor et al 2008:29

4 Barriers to entry of energy efficient technologies in industry

After reviewing the expected benefits of improved energy efficiency within industry, the problem is now to overcome the many barriers preventing optimal investment. The chart below documents some commonly cited barriers to entry of energy efficient technologies in industry, particularly in developing countries. Some of the most often cited barriers include informational barriers such as lack of knowledge of available benefits, financial barriers in the way of an absence of credit, shortage of sufficiently trained staff to implement new technologies, and a lack of adequate policy at the national level to encourage investments. This list may not be comprehensive of all the literature, it is simply a starting point to understand the most commonly identified barriers; the broader classifications in the left column are those suggested by Praetorius & Bleyl (2006).

Informational Barriers	Ignorance of technology availability &	Reddy 1991; UNDP 2000; McKane
	benefits	2007; Farrell 2009; Taylor et al 2008;
		Preaetorius & Bleyl 2006; WEC 2008;
	Institutional barriers to knowledge,	Meyers 1998;
	communication and technology flows	
Financial Barriers	Lack of available funds/ absence of credit	Reddy 1991; UNDP 2000; Farrell
		2009; Taylor et al 2008; Meyers 1998;
		WEC 2008;
	First-price sensitivity/high capital costs	UNDP 2000; Reddy 1991; Behrens et
	(magnified by the lack of credit markets)	al 2009; Meyers 1998; WEC 2008;
Technological barriers	Unavailability of efficient equipment	Reddy 1991; Meyers 1998;
	(technology available but not produced)	
	Focus on individual component efficiency,	McKane et al 2007;
	not whole system efficiency	
	Misapplication of efficient technologies	McKane et al 2007;
	Shortage of trained technical personnel to	Reddy 1991; McKane et al 2007;
	maintain/install new equipment	Taylor et al 2008; UNDP 2000;
Discrepancies in discount	Uncertainty about future energy	Reddy 1991: McKane et al 2007:
rate	prices/economic uncertainty	Taylor et al 2008:
	High user discount rates	Taylor et al 2008: Behrens et al 2009:
		Meyers 1998:
	Slow rate of capital turnover/ infrequency	McKane et al 2007:
	of capital investments	
	Perceived risk of implementing the	McKane et al 2007: Taylor et al 2008:
	new/unfamiliar technology	Meyers 1998: IEA 2009:
	Indifference to energy costs/relative	Reddy 1991: Meyers 1998:
	insignificance of energy costs to total costs	Reday 1991, heyers 1990,
	Below long-run marginal cost pricing and	Taylor et al 2008: Meyers 1998: IEA
	other price distortions	2009:
	High transaction costs	Behrens et al 2009: Taylor et al 2008:
		Meyers 1998:
Diversity of investment	Inherited inefficient equipment/indirect	Reddy 1991: UNDP 2000: Meyers
criteria and limited	purchase decisions	1998: WEC 2008:
resources	Limited fuel options/supply	UNDP 2000:
	Historically or socially formed investment	UNDP 2000: McKane 2007:
	natterns	Citibi 2000, Meriane 2007,
	Mismatch of the incidence of investment	Taylor et al 2008:
	costs and energy savings	
	Import of inefficiently used plants and	UNDP 2000: Mevers 1998:
	vehicles	
Policy/political barriers	Political uncertainty/ policy instability	Taylor et al 2008:
r oney, pontieur ourners	Weak contracting institutions	Taylor et al 2008; Meyers 1998:
	Absence of effective energy efficiency	Reddy 1991: UNDP 2000: Behrens et
	policy at national level	al 2009: Taylor et al 2008:
	Inappropriate energy pricing and cross-	UNDP 2000: Farrell 2009: Mevers
	subsidising	1998·
	Skills-short government	Reddy 1991: Meyers 1998:
	Government without adequate training	Reddy 1991:
	facilities	1000 1771,
	Government without access to necessary	Reddy 1991:
	hardware and software	1000 y 1771,
	naraware and software	

 Table 3
 Barriers to investment in efficient technologies in relevant to industries in developing countries

Meyers (1998) suggests that the barriers related to macroeconomic conditions, energy pricing, international flows of technology, capital and knowledge, and institutional weaknesses are most relevant to developing countries.

The World Bank sponsored the Three Country Energy Efficiency Programme, which sought to finance energy efficiency in Brazil, China and India, and has considerably contributed to understanding the factors that best foster investment in energy efficiency. In these countries, the World Bank finds that "the core of the problem [...] lies in the intertwined problems of perceived high risk driving up implicit discount rates associated with projects, currently high transaction costs, and difficulties in structuring workable contracts for preparing, financing, and implementing energy efficiency investments" (Taylor, et al 2008:6). The report stresses that barriers are related to institutional issues: "[...] two core economic functions that are dependent upon the strength of prevailing market institutions are usually critical for efficient energy efficiency investments: (i) outsourcing governed by contracts to allow sufficient specialization, and (ii) deep and efficient financial markets for financing energy-efficient investments (including both initial and retrofit investments)" (Taylor et al 2008:51-52). The policy solutions to these barriers should be specific and tailored to local environments. The box below offers a generalized guide to policymaking in the face of barriers to investments in energy efficiency.

Box 3 Generalized model for developing new energy efficiency investment delivery mechanisms in developing countries

Part I: Understand the **institutional environment** within which energy efficiency service transactions take place.

Part II: Pay careful attention to the **three requisites** that must be fulfilled within the respective institutional environment.

- Marketing/technical assessment
- Financing
- Incentives

Part III: Tailor the **institutional arrangements** for delivering the three requisites to the institutional environment within which the transaction is to take place.

Source: Taylor et al 2008:68.

The chart below pairs general types of investment barriers to energy efficiency by industry, including a policy solution. Though not detailed, this chart from UNDP (2000) provides an idea of the kind of policies required to overcome the above barriers. Many of the policy solutions

have multiple purposes. Voluntary agreements of mass producers, for example, are suggested to resolve information/market transparency problems, disparity of profitable expectations, investor/user dilemmas as well as incorporating externalities into costs. For more information on voluntary agreements to spur investment in energy efficient technologies, see Oikonomou, et al. 2009 and Price &Worrell 2002. It is important to note that some of the policy recommendations in the table may be taken from successful experiences in the developed world and may not be directly transferable to the developing world. A more detailed discussion of barriers and their policy solutions with specific attention to developing countries can be found in Reddy (1991).





Source: World Energy Assessment, UNDP 2000:206.

A further list of policies or steps to spur investment in efficiency measures for industry is found in ESMAP (2006), however, this list is not specific to developing countries:

- Regulation measures
- Tax incentives
- Energy efficiency funds and low interest loans
- Performance codes, standards, incentives and regulations
- Mandatory/compulsory energy efficiency targets
- Technical assistance and small business programmes
- Energy audits for factories
- Product labelling, rating, certification and retro-commissioning
- Energy conservation management
- Recognition programmes, technology adaptation and upgrades; and bulk procurements

5 Conclusions

A constraining factor in this field of study is the lack of firm-level data. The most relevant studies of developing countries use aggregated numbers; only a few scattered case studies deal with micro-level data. There is a plethora of literature on *potential* benefits of improved productivity, but there seems to be little empirical or theoretical consensus on the scope of the benefits or the mechanism for realizing these. The contradictions in empirical studies indicate the variation of conditions across countries, making the relationship between productivity and economic growth heterogeneous.

Despite this ambiguity, there is some consensus on the barriers to optimal investment in efficiency measures. Lack of available credit, high risk, high transaction costs, insecure contracting institutions, and lack of sufficient technical skills are the most frequently cited hurdles to productivity investments. There is also consensus that policies should be tailored to individual specificities to ensure that the impact of these five factors is reduced.

References

- Adenikinju, A., & Alaba, O. (1999). Energy use and productivity performance in the Nigerian manufacturing sector (1970-1990). *OPEC Review* (September), 251-264.
- Akinlo, A. E. (2008). Energy consumption and economic growth: Evidence from 11 Sub-Saharan African countries. *Energy Economics*, 30, 2391-2400.
- Andrews-Speed, P. (2009). China's ongoing energy efficiency drive: Origins, progress and prospects. *Energy Policy*, 37, 1331-1344.
- Ang, B. (2006). Monitoring changes in economy-wide energy efficiency: From energy–GDP ratio to composite efficiency index. *Energy Policy*, 34, 574–582.
- Ayers, R. U., Turton, H., & Casten, T. (2007). Energy Efficiency, sustainability and economic growth. *Energy*, 32 (5), 634-648.
- Behrens, A., Bird, N., & Fischer, G. (2009). *The financing of the Global Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energies Fund (GEEREF)*. Brussels: European Parliament.
- Bernard, J.-T., & Cote, B. (2005). The measurement of the energy intensity of manufacturing industries: a principal components analysis. *Energy Policy*, 33, 221-233.
- Boyd, G. A. (2000). Estimating the linkage between energy efficiency and productivity. *Energy policy*), 28 (5), 289-296.
- Bor, Y. J. (2008). Consistent multi-level energy efficiency indicators and their policy implications. *Energy Economics*, *30*, 2401-2419.
- Brookes, L. (2000). Energy efficiency fallacies revisited. Energy Policy , 28, 355-366.
- Cornillie, J., & Fankhauser, S. (2004). The energy intensity of transition countries. *Energy Economics*, 26, 283-295.
- Department of Energy & Climate Change. (2009). *The Road to Copenhage: The UK Government's case for an ambitous international agreement on climate change*. Norwich: The Stationery Office.
- Dimitropoulos, J. (2007). Energy productivity improvements and the rebound effect: An overview of the state of knowledge. *Energy Policy*, *35*, 6354-6363.
- EIA. (2003, June 02). *Energy Efficiency Measurement Discussion*. Retrieved July 9, 2009 from EIA: http://www.ei.doe.gov/emeu/efficiency/measure_discussion.htm
- Energy Sector Management Assistance Program (ESMAP). (2006). *Energy Efficiency Investment Forum: Scaling up Financing in the Developing World*. Washington DC: The World Bank Group.
- Fan, Y., Liao, H., & Wei, Y.-M. (2007). Can market oriented economic reforms contribute to energy efficiency improvement? Evidence from China. *Energy Policy*, *35*, 2287-2295.
- Farell, D., & Remes, J. (2009). *Promoting energy efficiency in the developing world*. McKinsey & Company.
- Farrell, D., & Remes, J. (2008). *How the World should invest in energy efficiency*. McKinsey & Company.
- Farrell, D., Remes, J., Bressand, F., Laabs, M., & Sundaram, A. (2008). *The case for investing in energy productivity*. McKinsey Global Institute.
- Freeman, S. L., Niefer, M. J., & Roop, J. M. (1997). Measuring industrial energy intensity: practical issues and problems. *Energy Policy*, 25 (7-9), 703-714.

- Gale, B., & Pang, J. (2000). Estimating the linkage between energy efficiency and productivity. *Energy Policy*, 28, 289-296.
- Gardner, T. A., & Joutz, F. L. (1996). Economic Growth, Energy Prices and Technological Innovation. *Souther Economic Journal*, 62 (3), 653-666.
- Geller, H., & Attali, S. (2005). *The Experience with Energy Efficiency Policies and Programmes in IEA Countries: Learning from the Critics.* Paris: IEA.
- Geller, H., Harrington, P., Rosenfeld, A. H., Tanishima, S., & Unander, F. (2006). Policies for increasing energy efficiency: Thirty years of experience in OECD countries. *Energy Policy*, 34, 556-573.
- Gillingham, K., Newell, R., & Palmer, K. (2006). Energy Efficiency Policies: a retrospective examination. *Annual Review of Environmental Resources*, 31, 161-192.
- Howarth, R. B., Schipper, L., & Andersson, B. (1993). The Structure and Intensity of Energy Use: Trends in Five OECD Nations. *The Energy Journal*, 14 (2), 27-46.
- Howarth, R., Haddad, B., & Paton, B. (1997). Energy Efficiency and Economic Growth. *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 15, 1-9.
- Howarth, R., Haddad, B., & Paton, B. (2000). The economics of energy efficiency: insights from voluntary participation programs. *Energy Policy*, 28, 477-486.
- Hu, J.-L., & Wang, S.-C. (2006). Total-factor energy efficiency of regions in China. *Energy Policy*, 34, 3206-3217.
- IEA. (2009). *Boosting the economy with energy efficiency financing*. Paris: International Energy Agency.
- IEA. (2007). Tracking Industrial Energy Efficiency and CO2 Emissions. Paris, France: IEA.
- IEA. (2008). World Energy Outlook 2008: Executive Summary. Paris: International Energy Agency.
- IEA. (2008). Worldwide Trends in Energy Use and Efficiency. Paris, France: IEA/OECD.
- Jenne, C. A., & Cattell, R. K. (1983, April). Structural change and energy efficiency in industry. *Energy Econonomics*, 114-123.
- Lee, C.-C., & Chang, C.-P. (2008). Energy consuption and economic growth in Asian economies: A more comprehensive analysis using panel data. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 30, 50-65.
- Liu, N., & Ang, B. (2007). Factors shaping aggregate energy intensity trend for industry: Energy intensity versus product mix. *Energy Economics*, 29, 609-635.
- Lovins, A. (2005, September). More profit with less carbon. Scientific American, 74-82.
- Madlener, R., & Alcott, B. (2009). Energy Rebound and Economic Growth: A review of the main issues and research needs. *Energy*, *34* (4), 370-76.
- McKane, A., Price, L., & de la Rue du Can, S. (2007). Policies for Promoting Industrial Energy Efficiency in Developing Countries and Transition Economies. *Background Paper for the UNIDO Side Event on Sustainable Industrial Development* (pp. 1-87). Vienna: UNIDO.
- McKinsey & Company. (2009). Pathways to a Low-Carbon Economy: Version 2 of the Global Greenhouse Gas Abatement Cost Curve. McKinsey & Company.
- Meyers, S. (1998). Improving energy efficiency: strategies for supporting sustained market evolution in developing and transitioning countries. Berkely: Lawurence Berkely Laboratory.

- Miketa, A., & Mulder, P. (2005). Energy productivity across developed and developing countries in 10 manufacturing secors: Patterns of growth and convergence. *Energy Economics*, 27, 429-453.
- Mills, E. (2003). Risk transfer via energy savings insurance. Energy Policy, 31, 273-281.
- Mishra, V., Smyth, R., & Sharma, S. (2009). The energy-GDP nexus: Evidence from a panel of Pacific Island countries. *Resource and Energy Economics*, *31*, 210-220.
- Ockwell, D. (2008). Energy and economic growth: Grounding our understanding in physical reality. *Energy Policy*, *36*, 4600-4604.
- Oikonomou, V., Patel, M., van der Gaast, W., & Rietbergen, M. (2009). Voluntary agreements with white certificates for energy efficiency improvement as a hybrid policy instrument. *Energy Policy*, *37*, 1970-1982.
- Pandey, R. (2002). Energy policy modeling: agenda for developing countries. *Energy Policy*, 30, 97-106.
- Patterson, M. G. (1996). What is energy efficiency?: Concepts, indicators and methodological issues. *Energy Policy*, 24 (5), 377-390.
- Praetorius, B., & Bleyl, J. W. (2006). Improving the institutional structures for disseminating efficiency in emerging nations: a case study for energy agencies in South Africa. *Energy Policy*, 34, 1420-1531.
- Price, L., & Worrell, E. (2002). *Overview of Voluntary Agreements in the Industrial Sector,*. Energy Analysis Department, Environmental Energy Technologies Division. Berkeley: Lawrence Berekely National Laboratory.
- Reddy, A. K. (1991). Barriers to imporveements in energy efficiency. *Energy Policy*, 19 (10), 953-961.
- Semboja, H. (1994). The effects of an increase in energy efficiency on the Kenya economy. *Energy Policy*, 22 (3), 217-225.
- Smulders, J., & de Nooij, M. (2003). The impact of energy conservation on technology and economic growth . *Resource and Energy Economics* , 25 (1), 59-79.
- Sorrell, S. (2009). Jevons' Paradox revisited: The evidence for backfire from improved energy efficiency. *Energy Policy*, *37*, 2310-2317.
- Sorrell, S., & Dimitropoulos, J. (2008). The rebound effect: Microeconomic definitions, limitations and extensions. *Ecological Economics*, 65, 636-649.
- Stern, D. I., & Cleveland, C. J. (2004). *Energy and Economic Growth*. Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. Troy: Rensselaer Working Papers in Economics.
- Stern, N. (2007). *The Economics of Climate Chnage: The Stern Review*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Subrahmanya, B. (2006). Energy intensity and economic performance in small scale bricks and foundry clusters in India: does energy intensity matter? *Energy Policy*, *34*, 489-497.
- Taichen, C., & Jin-Li, H. (2007). Renewable energy and macroeconomic efficiency of OECD and non-OECD economeis. *Energy Policy*, *35*, 3606-3615.
- Tanaka, K. (2008). Assessing Measures of Energy Efficiency Performance and their Application in Industry. Paris, France: IEA.
- Tanaka, K. (2008). Assessment of energy efficiency performance measures in industry and their application to policy. *Energy Policy*, *36*, 2887-2902.

- Taylor, R., Govindarajalu, C., Levin, J., Meyer, A. S., & Ward, W. A. (2008). Financing Energy Efficiency: Lessons from Brazil, China, India and Beyond. Washington DC: The World Bank Group.
- Thompson, P. (1997). Evaluating energy efficiency investments: accounting for risk in the discounting process. *Energy Policy*, 25 (12), 989-986.
- Tonn, B., & Peretz, J. (2007). State-Level benefits of energy efficiency. *Energy Policy*, 35, 3665-3674.
- UNDP. (2006). Achieving Energy Efficiency in Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur: United Nations Development Programme, Malaysia.
- UNDP. (2000). World Energy Assessment: Energy and the challenge of sustainability. New York, New York: United Nations Development Program.
- UNDP-Kenya. (2006). *Investors guide to energy efficiency*. Nairobi: United Nations Development Programme.
- UNESCAP. (2008). *Statistical yearbook for Asia and the Pacific*. Retrieved June 29, 2009 from http://www.unescap.org/stat/data/syb2008/28-Energy-supply-and-use.asp
- van Zon, A., & Yetkiner, I. H. (2003). An endogenous growth model with embodied energysaving technical change. *Resource and Energy Economics*, 25, 81-103.
- Wei, T. (2007). Impact of energy efficiency gains on output and energy use with Cobb-Douglas production function. *Enery Policy*, *35*, 2023-2030.
- Wiel, S., & Ega, C. (2006). Energy efficiency standards and labels provide a solid foundation for economic growth, climate change mitigation, and regional change. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 10 (3), 54-64.
- Wiel, S., & McMahon, J. (2003). Governments should implement energy-efficiency standards and lables -- cautiously. *Energy Policy*, 31, 1403-1415.
- World Bank. (2006). *Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress on Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.* Washington DC: The World Bank Group.
- World Bank. (2005). World Bank Group Progress on Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency: 1990-2004. Washington DC: The World Bank Group.
- World Energy Council. (2008). *Energy Efficiency Policies around the World: Review and Evlauation*. London: World Energy Council.
- Worrell, E., Ruth, M., Laitner, J., & Finman, H. (2001). Productivity benefits of industrial energy efficiency measures. Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Berkeley: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
- Worrell, E., van Berkel, R., Fengqui, Z., Menke, C., Schaeffer, R., & Williams, R. O. (2001). Technology transfer of energy efficient technologies in industry: a review of trends and policy issues. *Energy Policy*, 29, 29-43.
- Zhou, P., & Ang, B. W. (2008). Linear programming models for measuring economy-wide energy efficiency performance. *Energy Policy*, *36*, 2911–29

Appendix

Table 1 Indicators and measurement of industrial energy efficiency

Source	Purpose	Methodology	Indicator definitions/types & Issues
Patterson, Murray G.	Critical review of energy	Literature review	1. Thermodynamic definitions:
"What is energy	efficiency definitions and		Useful energy output/sum of all energy inputs - for a particular system, process or sector. Only
efficiency?: Concepts,	how they are		"useful" inputs and outputs are captured. Limited comparability without adjusting for energy quality.
indicators and	operationalized; and		Actual efficiency/ideal efficiency will measure how close a real system comes to an ideal system, but
methodological issues."	methodological issues with		is limited in applicability to real world systems.
Energy Policy 24, no. 5	each		2. Physical-thermodynamic definitions:
(1996): 377-390.			Output/Energy input. Advantages of this kind of measure: can be objectively measured; can reflect
			what consumers are actually requiring in terms of end use service; can be compared in
			longitudinal/time series analyses. Must be defined on a sectoral basis in that the "output" measure will
			vary across industries (i.e., tonnes of bricks, litres of milk, cubic metres of wood, etc.). Therefore,
			economy-wide aggregates are not feasible.
			3. Economic-thermodynamic:
			Energy: GDP ratio - Can be applied to various levels of aggregation but cannot differentiate between
			changes in technical energy efficiency and changes such as sectoral mix, energy-labour substitution,
			and changes in energy input mix. GDP should account for purchasing parity for comparisons.
			Energy input: output (\$) can be used at sectoral level but cannot always account for indirect energy use
			(i.e., sunlight in farming).
			Energy productivity ratio - GDP/Energy: focuses attention on the productive use of energy, and
			complimentary measure to capital & labour productivity analyses. In conjunction with K&L
			productivity measures, it can provide insight into whether energy inputs act as complements or
			substitutes. GDP/Energy may change by substitution, not by changes in technical efficiency (see
			technical or gross energy efficiency below)
			4. Economic:
			Energy input (in \$ value): Output \$ - accounts for variations in energy quality: requires careful
			calculation of 'ideal prices' to reflect marg. rate of transformation in prod. or MRS in consumption of
			inputs. Most common pure econ. indicator: national energy input (\$)/national output (\$GDP) - requires
			value judgments (see below).
			Methodological issues:
			1) Valuation & value judgments - to define energy output requires defining "useful energy" which may
			fail to capture use of "waste heat," for example. Not all end uses are adequately included in
			measurement.
			2) Energy quality problem - affects all indicators, occurs when different sources/end uses of energy are

			1
			 compared. Enhangle measurements only measure near content and do not distinguish between high-low quality of energy source (i.e. electricity-coal). Causes difficulty in aggregating, but is equally problematic at the micro-level. OECD thermal equivalents or fossil fuel equivalents can be used to account for these differences. 3) Boundary problem - only certain inputs are considered, non-commercial inputs are often excluded from efficiency indicators (gathered wood, sunlight, etc are not measured). Also, how far back to trace primary energy inputs? Do you account for energy losses in capturing & refining oil when using refined oil as an input? This latter issue can be accounted for by using the <i>quality equivalent methodology</i> (see Patterson 1993). 4) Joint production problem - arises when two different goods are produced using the same energy input, (raising a sheep produces both wool and meat), the problem is in differentiating input energy: output. Solving the problem requires arbitrary decisions about allocation. Regression analysis is useful when inputs or outputs are produced in quantities not proportional to each other. 5) Technical or gross energy efficiency - most indicators (particularly economic-thermodynamic) measure gross energy efficiency in a system/process/sector, which can be affected by structural factors (sectoral mix, energy input mix, increased mechanisation, and energy-for-labor substitution changes); meaning that the indicator does not capture exclusively technical efficiency changes. Technical and gross energy efficiency indicators are meant to measure different things.
Tanaka, Kanako.	Describe indices of energy	Literature review	"Thermal energy efficiency of equipment – This is expressed by: energy output/energy input, for end-
"Assessment of energy	efficiency performance in	and case study of	use technology and energy conversion technology. For example, the energy efficiency of a steam
efficiency performance	industry, which will be	Japan's iron and	boiler is energy amount as steam output divided by input heat to boil the water inside. In the case of
measures in industry	used in policymaking/	steel industry	motors, it should be power output divided by input electricity" (2888).
policy " Energy Policy	and to clarify the		(1) Energy consumption intensity (unit energy consumption, specific energy consumption) – For this index, the energy consumption is divided by the physical output value (or some economic value)
36 (2008): 2887-2902.	characteristics of each		thereof. In a similar way to point (1), it can be expressed as energy input/output.
	index, noting advantages		In comparison to the application of thermal efficiency measurement, indices of energy consumption
	and disadvantages, political		can be used to assess and compare energy performance for a broader set of objects: processes, factories,
	implications, and links to		companies, and even countries. A recent IEA publication (IEA, 2007b) called a statistical tool, as one
	policy framework.		of MEEPs, "indicator", which measures energy use based on physical production of industrial products.
			This indicator is not influenced by price fluctuations (IEA, 2007a, b) and can be directly related to
			process operations and technology choice. The denominator of energy intensity is a physical value,
			is effectively impossible without the conversion of the physical units into a common value. Even at
			disaggregated levels like a single industry, the energy data corresponding to products and processes are
			not always forthcoming. Another problem related to the energy consumption intensity index is the
			definition of proper and comparable boundaries (boundary definition) (see Appendix A)" (2888).

			 (2) "Absolute amount of energy consumption – heat value – This measure is sometimes used as MEEP. It loses its relevance from an energy efficiency perspective if it is not accompanied by an indication of production volumes. A problem similar to energy consumption intensity arises when we compare various boundary definitions" (2888). (3) "Diffusion rates of energy-efficient facilities/types of equipment – This measure indicates the rate of deployment of a specific technology, which has been identified as being energy efficient. Individual technologies share some common features including energy performance, with slight variations from one location of use to the other. The rate of diffusion of well-identified energy efficient technologies can therefore indicate progress towards enhanced energy efficiency – assuming that installation implies actual use of the equipment. (The application of, and issues related to the measures are discussed in Section 5.2)." (2888).
			Page2889: Measures and Indices used for Energy Efficiency Performance Type of assessments/decisions Type of assessments/decisions Type of assessments/decisions Type of assessments/decisions Technology choice and investment by energy economics Technology choice and investment by energy economics Technologies Tech
			products, J/kWh etc) comparison efficiency international International international comparison efficiency policy design
EIA. (2003, June 02).	Discusses	Discussion, no	"Energy intensity measures are often used to measure energy efficiency and its change over
Energy Efficiency	implications/difficulties of	formal methodology	time[E]nergy-intensity measures are at best a rough surrogate for energy efficiency. This is because
Discussion Retrieved	various energy efficiency measures		improvements such as a shift away from small cars to sport-utility ieen-like vehicles" (2)
July 9, 2009, from EIA:			Energy intensity: ratio of energy consumption to some measure of demand for energy services
http://www.ei.doe.gov/e			Indices as a measure of relative changes include
meu/efficiency/measure			(1) market-based approaches,
_discussion.htm			(2) comprehensive approaches,
			(3) factorial decomposition approaches (Laspeyres indices: energy use is decomposed into an activity

			effect structural effect and an intensity effect; each measured by holding the other 2 constant) and
			(4) divisia index approach (may be used to decompose time trends into different factors such as
			structural and intensity: measure energy savings over time and uses time trend data)
			Best practice approach – difference between the current or average practice of producing and the "best
			practice" of production – see Handbook on International Comparisons of Energy Efficiency in
			Manufacturing industry published by the Department of Science. Technology and Society. Utrecht
			University in April 1998
Freeman, Scott L.	Given the available data.	OLS Regression:	industrial energy intensity= energy input/industrial output (which is a economic-thermodynamic
Mark J. Niefer. and	we examine the types of	1978-1992 US	definition of efficiency)
Joseph M. Roop.	issues and problems that are	manufacturing	The higher the level of aggregation, the more desirable is the use of market value of output relative to
"Measuring industrial	likely to arise in the	industries. Data	volume of output in a measure of energy intensity. More heterogeneity of product makes it more
energy intensity:	construction of commonly-	comes from US	difficult to measure output by volume
practical issues and	used intensity indicators	Standard Industrial	Energy intensity growth rates can vary greatly depending on the measure of output used. In a
problems." Energy	We construct several	Classification	simple OI S regression [$\ln(\Omega ti) - \alpha + \beta ti + yt$] with $\Omega - $ output for measure i: i-volume, value of
Policy 25, no. 7-9	measures of energy	System	simple OLD regression [$m(Og) = a + pg + vc$] with $O = output for measure j, j=volume, value of production value of shipments or value added. Beta is annual growth rate of output measure i$
(1997): 703-714	intensity based on	~ J~	"A simple t-test of the equality of the point estimate for the growth rates of output volume and each of
	alternative measures of		the growth rates of value measures was calculated. The test indicated that the hypothesis of equality
	energy use and output for		he growth rates of value measures was calculated. The test indicated that the hypothesis of equality
	several industries in order		measures could not be rejected. Thus none of the value measures is preferred over the others by this
	to illustrate these issues		fact" (708)
	and problems		Describle causes of differences between volume and value of output:
	and problems.		Measurement errors in price indexes. Jikely when there are multiple prices for a good when an
			industry is composed of multiple goods, changes in date underlying industry price deflators, quality
			abanges, and abinments and materials deflators (it is unlikely that prices of materials and products
			changes, and simplifients and materials defiators (it is difficely that prices of materials and products
			Change at the same rate over time).
			Errors in industry specialization and coverage - difficulties occur, for example, when a single plant
			produces goods classified in more than one industry.
			acomparable over time
			"The use of value based demand indicators in an energy officiency measure may entry to expose the
			The use of value-based demand indicators in an energy efficiency measure may serve to exaggerate
			year-to-year changes in efficiency. Among the value-based demand indicators, value added appears
			The trend growth rate of value of production scores to match the trand growth rate of willows of
			output more closely then either value of chirmonts or value added, we are not however, or the basis of
			output more crosery man entrier value or simplicents or value added; we are not, nowever, on the basis of
			the statistical tests reported above, able to assert that this relationship holds with much certainty. Given
			that it is less likely to exaggerate swings in energy efficiency in the short run, and that it more closely
			matches trend growth rates than other value-based demand indicators, in the absence of serious

			coverage or specialization problems, it seems that value of production is the most desirable
			value-based output measure for use in an indicator of energy intensity" (713).
Liu, N., & Ang, B. (2007). Factors shaping aggregate energy intensity trend for industry: Energy intensity versus product mix. <i>Energy Economics</i> , 29, 609-635	"The main objective of this paper is to put together the empirical results reported in [previous] studies in a coherent framework and identify possible systematic features."	Literature review	 Value-based output measure for use in an indicator of energy intensity (715). Decomposition index analysis: two commonly used indices – <i>Laspeyres index</i> and the <i>Divisia index</i>. The latter Divisia index is recommended over the former, due to its various characteristics (p. 611), and it has "emerged as the most preferred method among researchers and analysts" "Increasingly, energy efficiency performance tracking through chaining decomposition analysis has become a major application of index decomposition analysis. Index decomposition analysis is the most rigorous technique currently available to address the issues of energy efficiency performance and to track its trend at the industry-wise or economy-wide level. Lately, it has also been found useful in the development of energy efficiency indicators" (p. 612). "In implementation, a common comment is that the kind and quality of data that are needed for a rigorous index decomposition analysis pose a far greater challenge to the analyst than issues on the choice of a decomposition method" (p. 632). "In developing countries depending on the decomposition time period, there are cases where interacted and decomposition time period, there are cases where interacted and decomposition analysis are characterial and an analysis.
			"If what has happened in industrial countries is indicative of future developments of the developing countries, in particular the high income ones, then it would be expected that the aggregate energy intensities of these countries will likely stabilize and/or decline as a result of the impacts from energy intensity change" (p. 631)
Tanaka, Kanako. Assessing Measures of Energy Efficiency Performance and their Application in Industry. Paris: IEA, 2008.	This paper explores different measures of energy efficiency performance (hereafter referred to as "MEEP"): absolute energy consumption, energy intensity, diffusion of specific energy-saving technology and thermal efficiency.	Case study, literature review	Same functional definitions as Patterson (1993) above, except Diffusion rates of energy efficient facilities/types of equipment: "The diffusion rate indicates the rate of deployment of a specific technology which has been identified as being energy efficient. Individual technologies share some common features, including energy performance, with slight variations from one location of use to the other. The rate of diffusion of well-identified energy efficient technologies can therefore indicate progress towards enhanced energy efficiency, assuming that installation implies an actual use of the equipment" (8).
			Much the same information/conclusions as Tanaka 2008a above.

Bor, Yunchang Jeffrey.	This paper has proposed	Introduction of a	The principal function of the end-use energy efficiency indicators lies in the evaluation of the
"Consistent multi-level	the adoption of end-use	new EEI and case	secondary energy usage performance of a nation or a sector, as well as the estimation of energy
energy efficiency	energy efficiency indices	study using	conservation
indicators and their	and the weighted vertical	Taiwan's (Republic	potential.
policy implications."	effect decomposition of	of China) industrial	
Energy Economics 30	changes in energy	sectors (1994-2003)	Top Down
(2008): 2401-2419.	efficiency indices between	· · · · ·	(Energy Balances)
	upstream and downstream		Total
	industries, which enable		by
	policy-makers to trace and		<u>Sector</u>
	identify those downstream		Notional Sectoral
	industries that lead to		Accounts Consus ate
	significant changes in		Subsectoral Surveys
	energy efficiency in the		Subsectoral Intensities of Energy, Use, Structure
	upstream sector.'		
			Surveys of Users and
			Attributes: Equipment, Estimates
			Utilization, Quality, etc.
			Measurements of
			Process Efficiencies Processes, Equipment
			Fig. 1. Pyramid concept of energy efficiency indicators source: International Energy Agency (1997).
-			
			Economic-thermodynamic EEI:

			$E_t = A_t \sum S_{it} I_{it} \tag{1}$
			where E_i stands for the secondary energy consumption in the <i>t</i> th year; A_i represents the net output of activity (e.g., real GDP) in the <i>t</i> th year; S_{ii} stands for the share of industry <i>i</i> in terms of the net output of activity (e.g., real GDP) $(-A_{ii}/A_i)$; and I_{ii} represents the economic secondary energy intensity based on the net output of activity (e.g., real GDP) $(-E_{ii}/A_i)$; and I_{ii} represents the economic secondary energy intensity based on the net output of activity (e.g., real GDP) $(-E_{ii}/A_i)$ of industry <i>i</i> in the <i>t</i> th year ³ . By referring to the International Energy Agency (1997), the economic-thermodynamic EEI can be stipulated as follows:
			$\% \Delta E_{\text{efficiency}} = \frac{A_t \sum S_{it} (I_{i0} - I_{it})}{E_t} $ ⁽²⁾
			(page 2404).
			Physical-thermodynamic EEI : energy consumption per unit of output volume; however, it is difficult to quantify the aggregated output of industrial production because such an operation meets with the problem of inconsistency, in terms of the unit of measurement for individual product outputs. One possible, though not infallible solution is to use product prices instead of volume.
			Ang (1995) proposed a multi-level method, featuring the <i>Divisia index</i> , where energy efficiency is decomposed across multiple levels of sectors in terms of changes in energy intensity and energy consumption, respectively. Benefit: reveal the existence of linkages in changes in energy efficiency indices between the upstream and downstream levels, and the same method can be used to study energy
			efficiency indices for industries further downstream.
			Problem:
			(i) the index is multiplicative rather than additative;
			(ii) the sums of the index changes in all industries at the same level do not equal the change in the index for the upstream sector level.
			The author presents an improved method of deriving an EEI, the process is, however, too complicated
			to adequately summarize here. 'The physical-thermodynamic EEIs developed in the present paper
			have two major contributions in that (i) they provide a definition and formula that are consistent with
			the economic-thermodynamic EEI, and (ii) they avoid the distortion of price fluctuations. Another
LINDD (2000) World	Disquesion of recent trends	Literature and	"Der conite energy use in developing countries tands to be higher where per conite incomes are higher
energy report: Energy	in energy intensity in both	statistical review	(in purchasing power parity terms) as in Latin America India and Southeast Asia" (n 180)
and the challenge of	OECD and non-OECD	Statistical review	Trend in higher-income developing countries: "Energy demand in industry has fallen in most higher-
sustainability. New	countries		income developing countries, both as a result of higher energy prices in the 1970s and the 1980s and
York, New York:			open borders to international competition" (p. 180).
United Nations			"In recent years many manufacturers in industrialised nations have moved energy-intensive industries
Development Program.			to developing countries, often to take advantage of cheaper labour, less stringent environmental
			regulation, and lower overhead and transportation costs"

			"Overall, more efficien GDP in higher-income	nt manufact developing	uring does n countries (A	ot domina Argentina,	te the Braz	e increase in ratios of primary energy to il, India, Mexico)" (p. 181).
			Trend in lower-income	leveloping of	countries: "	Most of th	ne tec	hnology used by industry in lower-
			income developing cour	tries is imp	orted from i	ndustrializ	zed co	ountries. Thus these industries should
			continue to benefit from	m technolog	ical improv	ements th	at pro	mote rational energy use. While this is
			expected to make energy	y demand fa	ll, the use o	f obsolete	and e	energy-inefficient technology imported
			from industrialized cour	ntries will d	rive the spe	cific energ	gy der	nand of industry" (p. 181)
			Issues in developing cou	intries affec	ting positive	e benefits	from	transfer of energy efficient technology:
			(1) proper technology	assessment	and selectio	n, (2) adaj	ptatio	n and absorption capacity, (3) access to
			state-of-the-art technolo	gy and to ca	apital, (4) th	e problem	ns of s	small and medium-sized enterprises.
			There is much in this ch	apter on pot	ential energ	y efficien	cy ac	ross regions (Africa p. 191), as well as
			obstacles/market imper	fections pre	venting imp	rovement	s, and	d suggested policy implications.
			TABLE 6.12. ECONOMIC ENERGY EFFICIENCY POTENTIALS IN AFRICA, 2020					
			Economic Energy Base					
			Sector and area	potential (percent)	Country	assumed	year	Source
			Industry					
			Total industry	15 about 30	Zimbabwe Zambia		1990 1995	TAU, 1991 SADC, 1996
				32	Ghana Nigeria		1991	Davidson and Karekezi, 1991; Adegbulugbe, 1992a Davidson and Karekezi, 1991; SADC, 1997
				>20	Sierra Leone		1991	Adegbulugbe, 1993
			107]	l	
		D	p. 197	0			1 0	
World Energy Council.	"Review of recent energy	Data comes from	Economic ratios, also re	terred to as	energy inter	<i>isities</i> , are	e defii	ned as ratios between energy
(2008). Energy	efficiency trend by world	ENERDATA world	consumption, measure	d in energy	units – tonn	es of oil e	quiva	lent/(toe) – and indicators of economic
Efficiency Policies	region based on a set of	energy database	activity, measured in m	nonetary uni	ts at constan	nt prices (GDP,	value added, etc.)
around the World:	homogenous energy	(www.enerdata.fr)	Techno-economic ratio	s are calcul	ated at a dis	aggregate	d leve	el by relating energy consumption to an
Review and Evaluation.	efficiency indicators		indicator of activity mea	sured in ph	ysical terms	or to a co	nsum	ption unit – also referred to as unit
London: World Energy	covering the period 1980-		consumption					
Council.	2006, with a greater focus		"Since 1980, the gener	al trend in i	ndustry in E	Europe, OI	ECD	Asia & Pacific, North America, China
	on the last sixteen years		and India is a decrease	in the energ	y required p	er unit of	value	added (industrial intensity)" (p. 25).
(section 1&2 of report)	(1990-2006)"							

Miketa, Asami, and	Empirical analysis of	Panel regression of	Energy produc	tivity is	defined	as outp	ut divid	ed by fii	nal energ	y use a	nd is th	us the in	verse of	energy
Peter Mulder. "Energy	energy-productivity	energy productivity	intensity.											
productivity across	convergence across 24	at the sector level												
developed and	developed and 32		Weighted avera	ge annual	growth	rates 19	75 1990)						
developing countries in	developing countries, in 10			CHE	FOD	IAS	MAC	NFM	NMM	РАР	TEX	TRM	WOD	MAN
10 manufacturing	manufacturing sectors, for		Relative level of	f energy j	roductiv	eity in 19	990 (unw	reighted	cross-indi	ustry av	erage n	ormalisea	l to 100)	
sectors: Patterns of	the period 1971–1995		World	36	108	21	221	26	12	49	110	251	165	100
growth and	-		Weighted average	ge annua	growth	rate 19	75-1990	a						
convergence." Energy			World	0.86	0.81	2.4	0.63	2.14	0.41	1.45	0.26	0.9	0.88	1.07
Economics 27 (2005):			Rest of world	1.2 -0.84	-0.95	2.69	0.27	2.26	0.39	1.46	0.07	0.98	-0.67	0.32
429-453.			^a The average	is weigh	ted with	each co	ountry's	1990 sha	re of total	output	per sect	or. The v	alues for	MAN are
			unweighted ave	rage of a	l manufa	acturing	sectors.			-	-			
			(p. 434)											
			"In spite of the	overall	pattern o	of σ-co	nvergen	ce in nir	ne manuf	acturin	g sector	rs, substa	antial cro	ss-
			country variati	on in ene	ergy- pro	oductiv	ity level	s remain	ns in exis	stence,	in parti	cular in s	several e	nergy-
			intensive sect	ors such	as chen	nicals, i	iron and	steel, ar	nd paper	" (436)				
			Test for uncon	ditional l	oeta-con	ivergen	ce: whe	ere g is a	innual gr	owth ra	ate of er	nergy pro	oductivit	y and y is
			initial level; 1	regressio	n w/ clu	stered s	standard	errors,	unbalanc	ed san	ple, res	stricted to	o 1980-1	990. In
			short, the resul	ts of our	test for	b-coeff	ficient p	rovide e	vidence	of lagg	ing cou	ntries ca	tching up	o in terms
			of energy-pro	oductivit	y perform	mance	within n	nost indu	ustrial se	ctors, t	hough v	very slow	v converg	gence, up
			to 397 years t	for the w	ood sec	tor. Mo	ostly sig	nificant	findings	, but ve	ery low	r-square	d. Sumr	narized in
			the large table	4.										
			$g_{it} = \alpha + \beta$	$\beta \ln(y)_{i,t}$	$-1 + \eta_i$	$t + \epsilon_{it}$								
				,.	-	-	(43	39).						
			Test for condit	ional bet	a-conve	ergence	- fixed	effects r	egression	n. The	results	confirm	the evide	ence of b
			-convergence:	except fo	or wood	(WOD) in the	Rest of	World, a	ll estim	ated b -	-coefficie	ents are 1	negative
			and highly sign	nificant.	Moreov	er, the	values o	f the R2	improve	ed cons	iderably	y, sugges	sting that	country
			effects indeed	d play an	importa	ant role	e, and the	us makiı	ng Eq. (2) a mu	ch bette	r model	for expla	ining
			energy- produ	uctivity g	growth a	across c	countries	than Ec	q. (1). Fr	om the	higher	values of	f the imp	lied in
			Table 5, it can	be seen t	hat allo	wing fo	or counti	y-specit	fic effect	s also l	eads to	a substa	ntial inci	ease in
			the speed of co	nvergen	ce. In sh	nort, ou	r results	show su	upport fo	r the h	ypothes	is that, ii	n terms c	f sectoral
			energy produ	ctivity, la	agging c	countrie	es tend to	o catch u	up with a	dvance	d natio	ns, with	converge	ence
			tending to be	conditio	nal on c	ountry-	specific	charact	eristics r	ather th	nan unco	onditiona	al or abso	olute.
			$g_{ii} = \beta \ln(y)$	$)_{i,i-1} + $	$\mu_i + \eta_i$	$_i + \epsilon_{it}$	(4-	41).						

	anamu moduntis-les	fromenos la	ible 6
	FOD	IOTHINING III	use and worse par HE
la	Country	a.la	auntry
.0)	1. Switzerland	(1.0)	Kuwait
.81)	2. Chile	(0.86)	Switzerland
.81)	3. USA 4. Conndo	(0.84)	Philippines Desmark
.003	4. Canada 5. India	(0.87)	Greece
45)	33 Humary	(0.52)	Mexico
.33)	34. Poland	(0.47)	2. Bengladesh
.33)	35. Mexico	(0.47)	. USSR
.27)	36. USSR	(0.47)	4. Trinidad
.20)	37. China	(0.30)	5. China
	PAP		
α_{max}	Country	α_l / α_{max}	ountry
.0)	I. Ireland	1	(1.0) 1
.99)	Africa	2. S	(0.97) 2. S
.94)	Switzerland	3. 1	(0.94) 3.1
.91)	4. N. Zealand	0.92) 0.01)	5
.90)	 Denmark Conndo 	ι.	(0.91)
(60)	 Canada Maurica 		(0.59) .
.04) 570	33. pilexico 34. China		(0.52)
.31)	34. CHIR 35. Baland		(0.31) (0.47)
	Janu (SCD	33. EX	(0.47) 30. FK
			an fron Bosona.
rgy	energy	e impact of energy	nate the impact of energy
n th		th we add	v growth we add
	ecording to:	ล	ts x.
	ording w.	~~	<i>a 21, 400</i>
	· · · ·		<i>01_()</i>
Τ,	$-1 \stackrel{\top}{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}$	li,t	$p_{\mathrm{III}}(y)_{i,t}$
	<u></u> _		
esp	enting,	eprese	$\therefore x_{ii}^{6}$ represe
e o	the sha	fie '	ratio (i.e. ·
		(÷	. 1000 (1
in	y and co	icit	, electricit
	-		<i>`</i>
anc	i U	hasize that of	ore emphasize that of
ипи	00	nusize mui	ore emphasize mai
сп	peir	nt shares b	nvestment shares b

Source	Purpose	Methodology	Data	Findings	Policy Implications
Wei, T. (2007). Impact	To apply the Cobb-Douglas	"first provides a	n/a	"In short term energy use efficiency gains	"The long term impact on
of energy efficiency	production function to	partial		will only increase non-energy output and have	energy use (or production) of
gains on output and	analyze the impact of	equilibrium	no data, the	no effect on energy use (or production)" (2929).	energy use efficiency is far less
energy use with Cobb-	energy efficiency gains on	analysis and	theory is	Energy use efficiency will lower the prices of	than that of energy production
Douglas production	output and use	then proceeds to	based on	non-energy and increase the output of non-	efficiency. Thus on the basis
function. Energy Policy,		an analysis on	economy	energy goods, in the short run.	of general equilibrium analysis,
35, 2023-2030.		the issue in a	level		we conclude that measures to
		two-sector	definitions		promote energy use efficiency
		general			is better than to promote
		equilibrium			energy production efficiency if
		system. In the			our purpose is to limit total
		latter analysis,			energy use." (2029).
		energy price is		"In the GE framework, the long term impact on	
		internalized."		non-energy output and energy use of energy	
		(2023)		production (or use) efficiency is larger when	
				compared with the short term impact. The extent	
				depends on the elasticity parameters in the	
				production functions." (2029)	
				"It is also interesting to notice that energy use	
				efficiency gains implies some increase of	
				energy price in long term" (2029).	
				Beginning with the Cobb-Douglas PF with three	
				primary resources K, L and E (energy):	
				$X = a K_x^{\alpha} L_x^{\beta} (\tau E_x)^{1-\alpha-\beta}.$	
				where X is the gross output or rough GDP. It is	
				the technological parameter and its increase	
				represents energy use efficiency gains. The CD	
				function exhibits constant returns to scale.	
				Subscript x is for input factors.	
				Short-term impacts of energy use efficiency	
				gains:	
				In the general equilibrium model, energy use	

Table 2 Causal effects of industrial energy efficiency on economic growth

				always equals energy production. Thus, Wei finds that energy use efficiency gains t have no effect on energy use: $\varepsilon_{e}^{s\tau} = \frac{dE}{d\tau} \frac{\tau}{E} = 0.$ which is a 100% rebound effect. However, quantity of non-energy goods produced will increase and non-energy prices will decrease due to energy efficiency gains, according to: $\varepsilon_{p_{x}}^{s\tau} = \frac{dP_{x}}{d\tau} \frac{\tau}{P_{x}} = -(1 - \alpha - \beta),$	
van Zan A. K	Extend the Domes model in	Alteration of the	not relevant	Long term impacts: Wei finds the elasticity of energy price in the LT to be: $\varepsilon_{P_e}^{I\pi} = \frac{dP_e}{d\tau} \frac{\tau}{P_e} = \frac{(1-\gamma)(1-\alpha-\beta)}{\beta+(1-\gamma)(1-\alpha-\beta)},$ Which implies that energy use efficiency gains will increase the energy price, instead of decreasing it, in the long run. The long-term impact of t on total non-energy output is positive.	"We conclude that in order to
Yetkiner, I. H. (2003). An endogenous growth model with embodied energy-saving technical change. <i>Resource and</i> <i>Energy Economics</i> , 25, 81-103.	 Extend the Komer model in two ways: include energy consumption of intermediates and to make intermediates become heterogeneous due to endogenous energy-saving technical change. "Our contribution to the discussion on endogenous growth then lies in the incorporation of energy as 	Addition of addition of intermediate technologies	the theory is abstract without empirical evidence; except the conclusion which makes policy recommenda tions exclusive to	 shows that aggregate energy efficiency may be improved through stepping up basic research. Secondly, increasing real energy prices lead to corresponding rises in the user costs of intermediates, and hence, to a fall in profits on those intermediates." (p. 85). In the case of rising growth of real energy prices, there will be less economic growth, unless policy measures are taken that counteract the negative effects on research incentives arising from a positive growth rate of real energy prices. 	have energy efficiency growth and output growth under rising real energy prices, a combination of R&D and energy policy is called for" (81). "The introduction of an energy tax in the context of the revised Romer model is not enough by itself to spur R&D efforts. Rather, these are negatively affected, because either real

	11 1 2 2	 1 112		
	an explicit factor of production in an endogenous growth model based on Romer (1990)".	the US;	"Growth rate depends positively on the rate of embodied technical change, and that it is higher than the original growth rate in the original Romer model; the rate of growth also depends negatively on the rate of growth of real energy prices, implying that continuously rising real energy prices will tend to slow growth." (98)	energy price changes or the introduction of a tax lowers the present value of a blueprint, which in turn reduces the value marginal product of research labour. In that case, we would expect a decrease in the allocation of labour to R&D.
			$K^{c} = KA^{(1-\alpha+\alpha\varepsilon)/\alpha} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha+\varepsilon\alpha}\right)^{(1-\alpha+\alpha)} \lambda_{0} \left(\frac{r}{\beta}\right)^{1-\beta} \left(\frac{q}{1-\beta}\right)^{\beta-1}$ can be used to link the growth rate of output to	However, the subsidy on wage cost in the R&D sector can actually more than compensate the fall in the value marginal
			that of real energy prices.	product of R&D labour
			$\hat{Y} = (1 - \alpha)\hat{L}_1 + \alpha\hat{K}^c = \alpha\hat{K}^c = \hat{K} \Rightarrow \hat{Y} = \frac{1 - \alpha + \zeta\alpha}{1 - \alpha}\hat{X} - \frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)}{1 - \alpha}\hat{g} = \hat{K}$	through the fall in profit flows,
			The steady state growth rate is given by: Which implies that with continuous rises in real energy prices ($q > 0$), a more intensive use of raw capital as a substitute for energy is called for.	so that in this case, we could observe faster growth than before the tax." (98).
			Moreover, the higher the effective capital elasticity of energy (i.e. $1 - \beta$) is, the stronger will be the decrease in the growth rate of output for a given growth rate of real energy prices.	
Ockwell, D. (2008).	This review provides an	USA;	"Sustained economic growth is a mantra for	"The ecological economics
Energy and economic	overview of our current	literature	governments worldwide and is seen as having a	worldview and some of the
growth: Grounding our	understanding	review with	key role to play in poverty alleviation. But	supporting empirical evidence
understanding in	of the relationship between	an interest in	economic activity is predominantly linked to the	suggests that the extent to
Policy 36 A600-A60A	growth	wide results	which account for over 60% of global	energy use from economic
<i>1 bitey</i> , <i>50</i> , 4000-4004.	glowiii.	while results	greenhouse gas emissions. This implies an urgent	growth may be more limited
	Findings are analyzed with		need to decouple economic growth from energy	than has previously been
	respect to an assumed goal		use." (4600)	assumed. This implies a need
	of reducing emissions.		"For ecological economists, energy is a	to focus on decarbonising
			fundamental factor enabling economic	energy supplies, as opposed to
			production. Some commentators even argue that	focusing solely on developing
			energy availability actually drives economic	and deploying energy-efficient

potential for decoupling energy from economic improvements in GDP/energy growth may be limited." (4603). "Direct rebound effects for household energy services in OECD countries are likely to be less than 30%. But they could be larger for producers and potentially much larger in developing countries." (4603)		growth, as opposed to economic growth resulting in increased energy use (e.g. Cleveland et al., 1984). From this perspective, the possibility of decoupling energy use from economic growth seems more limited." (4601) "There is a distinct and unresolved divide between neo-classical and ecological economists as to how to treat the contribution of energy to economic growth, with ecological economists arguing that the non-classical worldview fails to account for the physical limits implied by the laws of thermodynamics. If the ecological economics worldview holds, the	technologies" "Sufficient empirical evidence does not yet exist to provide conclusive support for the claims of either the ecological or neo-classical schools of thought. Breaking down the evidence that does exist suggests that observed
		ecological economics worldview holds, the potential for decoupling energy from economic growth may be limited." (4603). "Direct rebound effects for household energy services in OECD countries are likely to be less than 30%. But they could be larger for producers and potentially much larger in developing countries." (4603)	suggests that observed improvements in GDP/energy use ratios may be better explained by shifts towards higher quality fuels than by improvements in the energy efficiency of technologies." (4604)

Akinlo A E (2008)	" the objective of the paper is to investigate the	Cameroon	Granger causality test based on vector error	The result shows that each
Energy consumption	cointegration and causality relationships between	Cote	correction model (VECM) shows bi-directional	country should formulate
and economic growth:	energy consumption and income using ARDI	D'Ivoire	relationship between energy consumption and	appropriate energy
Evidence from 11 Sub-	bounds test and the Granger causality (GC) test	Congo	economic growth for Gambia Ghana and	conservation policies taking
Saharan African	based on vector error correction model (VECM) "	Gambia	Senegal However Granger causality test shows	into cognizance of her peculiar
countries Engrav	(2392)	Ghana	that economic growth Granger causes energy	condition
Economics 30 2201	(2372)	Konvo	consumption in Sudan and Zimbabwa Tha	condition.
2400		Nigeria	neutrality hypothesis is confirmed in respect of	
2400.		Sepagal	Cameroon and Cote D'Ivoire. The same result of	
		Suden Togo	no consolity was found for Nigoria. Kenya and	
		sudali, Togo	Togo	
		Zimbabwa		
		Eor the	Gambia, Ghana and Senegal, there is	"The implication of this finding
		Por ule	bidirectional relationship between energy	is that a high level of economic
		2002	consumption and economic growth. This finding	growth leads to high level of
		2005	seems to support Glasure and Lee (1997) result	energy demand and vice-versa.
			for Republic of Korea and Singapore.	This means that investment and
				other efficient measures that
				increase energy supply can be
				implemented, but such
				measures should not be at the
		Uses macro-		expense of the environment.
		level data for		Indeed, in order not to
		energy use		adversely affect economic
		and		growth, energy conservation
		economic		policies that aim at reducing
		growth		energy must rather find ways
				of reducing consumer demand
				[for energy]. This sort of policy
				could be achieved through an
				appropriate mix of energy
				taxes and subsidies."
			With respect to Sudan and Zimbabwe, the Wald	The unidirectional causality
			test statistics that fall below the critical F values	running from economic growth
			shows that the null hypothesis that energy	to energy consumption may
			consumption do not Granger cause economic	statistically suggest that energy
			growth in the short run has been accepted.	consumption measures may be
				taken without jeopardizing

				In the case of Cameroon and Cote D'Ivoire, the	economic development. This is not to suggest however, that energy consumption level should be reduced. The option therefore might be for these countries to enhance the level of efficiency in the energy sector. " (2396) This would imply that energy
				evidence suggests no causality in both directions supporting the so called 'neutrality hypothesis'.	conservation policies do not affect economic growth
				The result indicates a unidirectional relationship between energy consumption and economic growth for Congo. The causality runs from economic growth to energy consumption. In Nigeria, Kenya and Togo, no evidence of causality in either direction is found i.e. 'neutrality hypothesis'.	Theoretically, for these countries energy conservation may be pursued without serious adverse effect on economic growth
					No evidence was found of a unidirectional causal effect from energy consumption to growth.
Mishra, V., Smyth, R., & Sharma, S. (2009). The energy-GDP nexus: Evidence from a panel of Pacific Island countries. <i>Resource and</i> <i>Energy Economics</i> , 31, 210-220.	To test direction of causality between energy consumption and GDP, all at the aggregated, country, level.	Granger causality test	Panel of nine PICs (Fiji, French Polynesia, Kiribati, New Caledonia, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga and Vanuatu)	"If there is unidirectional Granger causality running from GDP to energy consumption or no Granger causality in either direction, it may be implied that energy conservation policies have little or no adverse effect on economic growth. On the other hand, if unidirectional Granger causality runs from energy consumption to GDP, it follows that reducing energy consumption could lead to a fall in income, while increases in energy consumption could contribute to high rates of economic growth in the PICs. " (212)	'As Mehrara (2007, p. 2940) states, "when it comes to whether energy use is a result of, or a perquisite for, economic growth, there are no clear trends in the literature. Depending on the methodology, used, and country and time period studied, the direction of causality between energy consumption and economic variables has remained empirically elusive and

over the		controversial".' (212)
period 1980-	"The main finding in terms of the energy-GDP	
2005.	nexus is that there is bidirectional Granger	
	causality between energy consumption and	
Energy and	GDP and that for the panel as a whole energy	
GDP per	consumption and GDP have a positive effect on	
capita are the	each other. A 1% increase in energy	
data of	consumption increases GDP by 0.11%, while a	
interest and	1% increase in GDP increases energy	
use	consumption by 0.23%. Bidirectional Granger	
	causality implies that energy consumption and	
	economic growth are jointly determined and	
	affected at the same time. "(219)	
	"To this point, there are few studies that	
	examine the relationship between energy	
	consumption and GDP at a disaggregated level	
	and no such panel-based studies. It would be	
	difficult to obtain disaggregated data on energy	
	consumption for a panel of PICs" (219)	

	TTI I I	D 1 1	1643			
Lee, CC., & Chang,	The purpose is to	Panel unit root	16 Asian	13.51		+≮hina
CP. (2008). Energy	"empirically examine long-	tests and	economies	12.89		
consumption and	run co-movement and the	heterogeneous	from 1971 to	12.66		kIndia+-
economic growth in	causal relationship between	panel	2002	12.06		Iran
Asian economies: A	energy consumption and	cointegration		g 11.23		Indonesia
more comprehensive	real GDP. It does so based	tests, and a	Aggregated	g 11.10		Korea
analysis using panel	on the aggregate	Granger	data at the	10.66		Turkey
doto Resource and	production function "	consolity tost	national	5 10.47	Pakistan	
	production function.			10.43		Fhailand
Energy Economics, 30,		compares the	level is used.	a 10.10	Philip	pines
50-65.		relationship		9.82	Malaysia	
		between energy	China, Hong	9.20	Singapore	
		consumption	Kong, India,	9.04	* Syria	Hong Kong
		and real GDP	Indonesia,	8.58	an-Sri Lanka	
		while	Iran, Japan,	21.63 22.87 23	3.05 24.24 24.34 24.38 24.65 24.77 24	.97 25.06 25.09 25.48 26.05 26.13 26.51 28.84
		controlling for	Jordan.		Log real GDP	(2000=100)
		capital and labor	Republic of	Fig.	1. Plots of real GDP and energy	gy consumption (in log).
		input in Asian	Korea			
		aconomia	Moleucie			
		economic	Malaysia,			
		groups rather	Pakistan, the			
		than individual	Philippines,			
		countries	Singapore,	CDD	0 ID V	
			Sri Lanka,	$GDP_{it} = \alpha_i - o_i t + \gamma_{1t} E$	$\mathbf{C}_{it} - \mathbf{\gamma}_{2i} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{D}_{it} + \mathbf{\gamma}_{3i} \mathbf{A}_{it} + \mathbf{c}_{it},$	
			the Syrian	They use a Cobb-Dougl	as production function,	
			Arab	where $EC = Ln(energy)$	consumption), $LB =$	
			Republic.	Ln(L), K = Ln(Capital)	stock), and $GDP = Ln(Y)$	
			Thailand			
			and Turkov	When estimated with a	a fully modified OLS.	
			and runkey	accounting for time and	fixed effects "all of the	
				coefficients of EC I D	and K are statistically	
				contribution in EC, ED	and is are statistically	
				significant at the 5% l	ever, and the effect is	
				positive. Implicit here	is that a 1% increase in	
				energy consumption lea	ads to a 0.32% increase in	
				real GDP in our sample	of Asian economies."	

Poly-mediade CX-selanas: Coarry groupings FC 1.8 K Coarry groupings FC 1.8 K Coarry groupings FC 1.3 K Hong Keng 0.31 (449)** 0.27 (179)* 0.26 (142)** Hong Keng 0.31 (449)** 0.27 (179)* 0.26 (142)** Hong Keng 0.31 (449)** 0.27 (179)* 0.38 (121)* Hong Keng 0.31 (449)** 0.27 (179)* 0.38 (21)** 0.38 (23)** Hong Keng 0.31 (457)* 0.38 (23)** 0.38 (23)** 0.38 (23)** 0.03 (23)* 0.03 (23)* 0.03 (23)** 0.03	Paily modified QLSCurrery groupingsChinaHoug KongThe large for the pair of			
World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects definitionsDiscussion, review of past projectsCourse review of past projectsBCLipKWorld Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects definitionsDiscussion, review of past projectsDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping review of past projects"On the basis of the short-run and long-run dynamics of energy consumption and dGDP, as concerns the energy-income relationship in these Asian economics, we refute the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the long-run dynamics of energy consumption and dGDP, as concerns the energy-income relationship in these Asian economics, we refute the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the long-run aution to trice versa. There is no short-run or long-run causal relationship running from GDP to EC." (63)World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects definitions about free in observer aside grigetsDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping review of past erecry internet and conduce energy and renergy ereficiency potential of developing grigetsDiscussion, review of past erecry i	World Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group. Progress on Renewable Energy an Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; soccust of countries; soccust of countries; soccust of countries; soccust of given."On the basis of GDP, as conce refut the neutin consumption is There is no shoWorld Bank. Group.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater world Bank Group.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"On the basis of GDP, as conce refut the neutin countries or and environment sectoral countries; sectoral (residential)"Inhere is no sho countries or and environment sectoral energy efficiency services and e efficiency is given.World Bank Group.attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries or energy efficiency energy efficiency efficiency services and e definitionsThe energy efficiency energy efficiency efficiency energy efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency services and e efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiencyThe energy efficiency energy efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiencyThe energy effici	S estimates		
World Bank. (2006): InterventionReview purpose and success of WBG projects definitionsDiscussion, review of past projectsOut of the passion review of past projectsOut of the passion	World Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress on Renewable Energy efficiency Haing is for greater World Bank Group.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; estoral countries to given."On the basis of GDP, as conce refute the neutric consumption is There is no shotWorld Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress on Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Historig DC: The World Bank Group.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; esectoral countries to gi and environmet energy efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater attention to industry is given.Discussion, projectsDeveloping countries; energy efficiency efficiency that c services and energy efficiency that c services and energy efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater given.Discussion, projectsDeveloping countries; energy efficiency efficiency that c services and energy efficiency that c services and energy efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater given.Discussion, energy efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency that c services and energy efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency that c services and energy efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency that	EC	LB	ĸ
World Bank. (2000). m Renewable Energy an Renewable Energy of Renewable Energy and Phalogy of Renewable Energy and Phalogy of Renewable Energy and Phalogy of Renewable Energy and Renewa	More and a product of the product o	-0.77 (-2.99)**	2.03 (4.63)**	0.86 (14.42)**
World Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Parest on Rerevable Energy on Rerevable Energy on Rerevable Energy on Rerevable Energy on Rerevable Energy on Rerevable Energy on Rerevable Energy of Rerevable Energy from generalizations about from generalizations about projectsDeveloping countries; of Rerevable Energy ocustifies of Rerevable Energy op Rerevable Energy op Rerevable Energy op Rerevable Energy from generalizations about of Rerevable Energy from generalizations about projectsDeveloping countries; countries; ocustifies countries; definition in diverse rasis definition in diverse regioned after and regi	World Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress on Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; ectoral countries; efficiency efficiency efficiency no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; ectoral energy efficiency efficiency no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; ectoral energy efficiency efficiency efficiency manner." (pag The energy efficiency	0.01 (4.48)**	0.73 (1.74)*	0.20 (1.94)*
World Bank. (2000). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress on Renewable Energy on Renewable Energy on Renewable Energy on Renewable Energy on Renewable Energy on Renewable Energy on Renewable Energy of Renewable Energy dealing with energy from generalizations about befits of greatr efficiency. ho specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; review of past projects"On the basis of the short-run and long-run dynamics of energy consumption and GDP, a concerns the energy-income relationship in these Asian economies, we refut the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the long-run, but not vice versa. There is no short-run or long-run causal relationship in these Asian economies, we discussed with reference to household level (regge 7)World Bank Group.Review purpose and bank Stroup for great dealing with energy efficiency of trace in the interview of great projectsDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping review of past projects"On the basis of the short-run and long-run dynamics of energy consumption and GDP, as concerns the energy-income relationship in these Asian economies, we refut the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the long-run, but not vice versa. There is no short-run or long-run causal relationship in these Asian conomies, we discussed with reference to household level efficiency on sectoral countries; sectoral countries; sectoral energy price volation to a during the energy efficiency of the can provide affordable energy efficiency the run provide affordable energy efficiency fonerematic such as nerewable energy ef	World Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Vear 2006.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency reliability in a manner." (pag manner." (pag The energy efficiency from generalizations aboutDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency reliability in a manner." (pag The energy efficiency from generalizations aboutDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency reliability in a manner." (pag The energy efficiencyWorld Bank Group.efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.definitionsThree increst - M	0.51 /3 09**	-0.37 (-0.77)	0.43 (4.97)**
World Bank. C2006. Interrupt Review purpose and Bank Group Porgest an Renewable Energy Bank Group Porgest an Renewable Energy Efficiency an Renewable Energy Efficiency antion to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping energy efficiency however aside antion to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping energy efficiency however aside antion to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping energy efficiency energy entry on and countries to give greater consideration to household level frequency energy energy and energy income relationship in these Asian economies, we refute the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the Ung-run, but not vice versa. Three is on short-run and long-run causa Irelationship in these Asian economies, we refute the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy induction and countries to give greater consideration to household level frequence to household level frequence to discussed with reference to discussed with reference to energy efficiency to the advanced in antion to industry.All policy implications are discussed with reference to discussed with reference to discussed with r	World Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group. Progress on Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations aboutDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency – the efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency – the efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency efficiency = main manner." (pag The energy efficiency efficiency = main Boon target – W	0.51 (5.%)**	-0.76 (-1.00)	0.21 (11.52)**
World Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group, Progress Mordd Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects definitionsDiscussion, review of past projects"On the basis of the short-run and long-run dynamics of energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the long-run, but not vice versa. The indicate statistical significance are statistical sta	Vorid Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress on Renewable Energy Haingon DC: The World Bank Group.Review purpose and efficiency however asideDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping review of past projects"On the basis of GDP, as conce refute the neuti consumption is There is no shoWorld Bank Group.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency that or induction to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency that or energy efficiency efficiency that or induction to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency energy efficiency efficiency that or energy efficiency efficiency that or intention to industry is given.	-0.14 (-0.65)	-0.76 (-1.06) 7 85 (5 7(1)**	0.33 (3.11)**
World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and moreoving Lives: World Bank Group Progress dealing with energy 	Korea Malaysia Pakistan Philippines Singapore Sri Lanka Syrian Thailand Three is There is no shoKorea Malaysia Philippines Singapore Sri Lanka Syrian Thailand Three is Three is to shoKorea Malaysia Philippines Singapore Sri Lanka Syrian Thailand Three is Three is to shoWorld Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress and Energy Efficiency and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006, World Bank Group.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency form generalizations about given.Developing review of past projects"On the basis of Gountries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency services and environment services and environment given.World Bank Group.benefits of greater efficiency is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency services and environment energy efficiency services and environment energy efficiency services and environment energy efficiency services and environment energy efficiency services and environment energy efficiency se	0.67 (5.69)**	0.06 (0.53)	-0.01 (-0.10)
Makysis0.22 (1.41)1.01 (2.79)**0.16 (2.63)*Makysis0.05 (-0.42)0.02 (5.37)*0.12 (2.63)*0.23 (7.63)*Milinginos-0.06 (-0.42)0.02 (5.37)*0.13 (1.23)*0.02 (5.37)*Milinginos-0.06 (-0.42)0.02 (5.37)*0.03 (5.37)*0.03 (5.37)*Milinginos-0.06 (-0.42)0.02 (5.57)*0.13 (1.23)*0.04 (1.557)*Milinginos-0.06 (-0.42)0.02 (5.57)*0.13 (1.23)*0.04 (1.557)*Milinginos-0.06 (-0.42)0.02 (5.17)*1.11 (1.437)*0.14 (7.347)*Milinginos-0.06 (-0.42)0.02 (1.57)*0.03 (1.12)*0.04 (1.57)*Milinginos-0.06 (-0.42)0.02 (1.57)*0.03 (1.12)*0.04 (1.57)*Milinginos-0.06 (-0.42)0.02 (1.57)*0.03 (1.12)*0.04 (1.57)*Milinginos-0.06 (-0.42)0.02 (1.57)*0.03 (1.12)*0.04 (1.57)*Milinginos-0.06 (-0.42)0.02 (1.57)*0.04 (1.57)*0.04 (1.57)*Milinginos-0.06 (-0.42)0.02 (1.57)*0.04 (1.57)*0.04 (1.57)*Milinginos-0.06 (1.12)*-0.06 (1.12)*0.02 (1.12)*0.04 (1.12)*Milinginos-0.06 (1.12)*-0.06 (1.12)*0.02 (1.12)*0.02 (1.12)*Milinginos-0.06 (1.12)*-0.06 (1.12)*0.02 (1.12)*0.02 (1.12)*Milinginos-0.06 (1.12)*-0.06 (1.12)*-0.06 (1.12)*0.06 (1.12)*Milinginos-0.06 (1.12)*-0.06 (1.12)*-0.06 (1.12)*0.06 (1.12)*Milinginos <td>World Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006. World Bank Group.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; esctoral energy efficiency - dealing with energy efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency - dealing with energy efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency - dealing with energy efficiency - nowspecific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency - nowspecific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency energy efficiency e</td> <td>0.62 (4.32)**</td> <td>0.88 (2.00)**</td> <td>0.00 (0.04)</td>	World Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006. World Bank Group.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; esctoral energy efficiency - dealing with energy efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency - dealing with energy efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency - dealing with energy efficiency - nowspecific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency - nowspecific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency energy efficiency e	0.62 (4.32)**	0.88 (2.00)**	0.00 (0.04)
World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and benefix of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDescusion, of past countries; sectoral projectsOne contribution countries; sectoral countries; sectoral countries; sectoral countries; sectoral countries; sectoral countries; co	Pakian Philippincs Singapore Sint Lanka Thailand Turkey PauelWorld Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Review purpose and success of greater efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral energy efficiency energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; and environmen countries to gi alternatives suc energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; alternatives suc energy efficiency efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past energy efficiency energy efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency from genergy efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency	0.26 (1.41)	1.01 (2.79)**	0.16 (2.94)**
Philippines-0.06 (-0.42)0.82 (5.0)**0.23 (3.5)**0.13 (1.32)Singapore0.30 (3.6)**0.30 (3.6)**0.32 (5.5)**0.13 (1.32)Singapore0.30 (3.6)1.12 (4.5)**0.08 (4.6)**Singapore0.30 (3.6)1.12 (4.5)**0.16 (5.5)**Tailaid0.47 (1507)**1.31 (14.7)**0.14 (7.34)**Netro0.57 (8.2)**0.90 (17.52)**0.90 (17.52)**0.24 (1.0)**Netro<	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; and environmer countries; ectoral countries to gr efficiency efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; ectoral (residential) energy efficiency attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; ectoral countries; ectoral countries; efficiency attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency attention to industry is given.Developing review of past energy efficiency<	0.63 (6.37)**	0.37 (2.65)**	0.29 (7.64)**
Singapore Sin Lanka - 0.08 (-0.74)L33 (57)** L33 (4.73)**0.15 (1.52) OB (A13)**0.15 (1.52) OB (A13)**0.16 (1.52) OB	Singapore Sri Lanka Syrian Thaluad Urrkey PaualSingapore Sri Lanka Syrian Thaluad Urrkey PaualWorld Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress on Renewable Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"On the basis of GDP, as concer refute the neutriconsumption is There is no shot the energy efficiency – however aside efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"Energy price and environmer sectoral countries to gi energy efficiency efficiency mospecific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency energy efficiency energy efficiency efficiency mospecific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency energy efficiency energy efficiency efficiency manner." (pag Bonn target – W	-0.06 (-0.42)	0.82 (5.00)**	0.28 (6.37)**
Shi Lanka-0.08 (-0.74)2.15 (14.5)**0.06 (4.03)**Syrian0.03 (0.31)L02 (7.82)**0.14 (7.34)**0.14 (7.34)**Werky0.57 (8.2.4)**0.26 (3.15)**0.14 (7.34)**0.14 (7.34)**Werky0.57 (8.2.4)**0.26 (3.15)**0.14 (7.34)**0.14 (7.34)**Werky0.57 (8.2.4)**0.26 (3.15)**0.24 (21.0)**Werky0.57 (8.2.4)**0.26 (3.15)**0.24 (21.0)**Werky0.57 (8.2.4)**0.26 (3.15)**0.24 (21.0)**Werky0.57 (8.2.4)**0.58 (21.5)**0.24 (21.0)**Werky0.58 (21.5)**0.58 (21.5)**0.58 (21.5)**Werky0.58 (21.5)**0.58 (21.5)**0.58 (21.5)**Worky1.58 (21.5)**0.58 (21.5)**0.58 (21.5)**	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations aboutDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater world Bank Group.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past efficiency efficiency - however aside energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency - however aside efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency - however aside efficiency - however aside efficiency - however aside energy efficiency -	0.30 (3.67)**	1.33 (5.57)**	0.13 (1.32)
World Bank. (2006). Review purpose and success of WBG projects Discussion, review of past projects	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside and Energy EfficiencyDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"Con the basis of GDP, as conce refute the neutr consumption is There is no shoWorld Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"Energy price and environmer sectoral (residential)World Bank Group.from generalizations about benefits of greater given.ficiency - however aside efficiency = the efficiency is greater efficiency = the efficiency is greater given.The energy efficiency = the efficiency is greater efficiency = the efficiency is greater = the efficiency is greater efficiency = the efficiency is greater = the efficiency = the efficiency is greater = the efficiency = the effici	-0.08 (-0.74)	2.15 (14.50)**	0.08 (4.03)**
Image: Index problemImage: Image:	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency <i>Fiscal Year 2006.</i> Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping review of past projects"On the basis of GDP, as conce refute the neutriconsumption is There is no show projectsWorld Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside <i>from</i> generalizations about benefits of greater World Bank Group.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)World Bank Group.efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.from generalizations attention to industry is given.manner." (pag manner." (pag The energy efficiency and not anter." (pag Bonn target – W	0.03 (0.31)	1.02 (7.82) ⁸⁴	0.15 (2.56)**
World Bank. (2006). Review purpose and Discussion, Developing "Energy price volatility, supply uncertainties, All policy implications are More Review Progress dealing with energy projects review of past countries; and environmental concerns are leading many discussed with reference to Bank Group. Progress dealing with energy projects getcraft (residential) refliciency frictiency frictiency household energy Fiscal Year 2006. Benefits of greater energy efficiency efficiency efficiency service and environmentally sustainable household energy World Bank Group. given. given. Discussion, Developing "Energy price volatility, supply uncertainties, All policy implications are and environmental concerns are leading many dealing with energy projects sectoral and environmental concerns are leading many household level efficiency World Bank Group. from generalizations about energy energy efficiency household energy improvements, not industry. given. from generalizations about energy efficiency energy efficiency services and enhance energy	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside <i>maternalizations about</i> Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral"On the basis of GDP, as conce refute the neutriconsumption is There is no show There is no show efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater World Bank Group.Developing efficiency – however aside efficiency no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral energy efficiency efficiency more review of past projectsDeveloping energy efficiency efficiency more review of past projects"Energy price and environmer alternatives sucl energy efficiency efficiency more review of past projectsDeveloping energy efficiency energy efficiency energy efficiency more review of past projectsDeveloping energy efficiency energy efficiency energy efficiency <b< td=""><td>0.47 (15.07)**</td><td>1.31 (14.37)**</td><td>0.14 (7.34)**</td></b<>	0.47 (15.07)**	1.31 (14.37)**	0.14 (7.34)**
World Bank. (2006). Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progress on Renewable Energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations about World Bank Group.Review purpose and success of WBG projects definitions about projectsDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"Energy price volatility, supply uncertainties, and environmentally sustainable attention to industry is given.All policy implications are the sectoral countries review of past services and environmentally sustainable efficiency fricant Year 2006.Review purpose and success of WBG projects definitions about benefits of greater efficiency fiscal Year 2006.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency potential of developing countries to give greater consideration to services and enhance energy security and reliability in an environmentally sustainable emanner." (page 7)All policy implications household level efficiency improvements, not industry.World Bank Group.energy efficiency to industry is given.energy efficiency potential of developing countries remains largely untapped.The energy efficiency potential of developing countries remains largely untapped.All policy implications are household level efficiency household level efficiency services and enhance energy security and reliability in an environmentally sustainable	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"On the basis of GDP, as concer refute the neutriconsumption is There is no show review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"Energy price and environmer sectoral energy efficiency efficiency no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"Energy price and environmer sectoral energy efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral energy efficiency efficiency and environmer sectoral energy efficiency and environmer energy efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency no specific attention to industry is given.Developing review of past energy efficiency	0.37 (8.24)**	0.00 (17 02)**	0.19 (12.24)**
Motex: Fvalues are in parentheses. ** and * indicate statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10% level, respectively.Image: Statistical significance at the 5% and 10	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiencyDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"On the basis of GDP, as concer refute the neutriconsumption is There is no show There is no show review of past projectsWorld Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"Energy price and environmer sectoral energy efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Developing review of past energy efficiency efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency in attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency definitionsDeveloping "Energy price and environmer sectoral energy efficiency efficiency manner." (page The energy efficiency manner." (page T	0.32 (13.37)**	0.90 (17.92)**	0.24 (21.01)**
Image: construct of the series of the seri	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside <i>and Energy Efficiency</i> Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"On the basis of GDP, as conce refute the neutriconsumption is There is no show projectsWorld Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency, no specific given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency services and environmer sectoral energy efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency in a manner." (pag The energy efficioncy manner."World Bank Group.attention to industry is given.The energy efficioncy manner."	in parentheses. ** and * indicate statistical	significance at the 5% and 1	10% level, respectively.
Image: constraint of the series of the short of the sh	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiencyDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"On the basis of GDP, as conce refute the neutriconsumption is There is no shown on Renewable Energy efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Review purpose and success of greater efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; and environmer sectoral (residential)"Energy price and environmer sectoral (residential)World Bank Group.efficiency, no specific given.manner." (pag The energy efficiency efficiency = manner." (pag The energy efficiency = manner."			
Image: constraint of the series of the ser	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy <i>Improving Lives: World</i> Bank Group Progress on Renewable Energy Fiscal Year 2006.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; and environmer sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency friscal Year 2006.Meview purpose and success of WBG projects definitionsDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral energy efficiency efficiency definitions"On the basis of GDP, as conce refute the neutriconsumption is There is no show projectsWorld Bank Group.Review purpose and sectoral from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral energy efficiency definitions"Energy price and environmer countries to gi alternatives succes energy efficiency definitionsWorld Bank Group.attention to industry is given.The energy efficiency energy efficiency manner." (pag Bonn target – W			
World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and Improving Lives: World Bank Group.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"On the basis of the short-run and long-run dynamics of energy consumption and GDP, as concerns the energy-income relationship in these Asian economies, we refute the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the long-run, but not vice versa. There is no short-run or long-run causal relationship running from GDP to EC." (63)World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and dealing with energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency efficiency definitionsAll policy implications are discussed with reference to household level efficiency energy efficiency energy efficiency definitionsAll policy implications are discussed with reference to household level efficiency energy efficiency definitionsWorld Bank Group.benefits of greater given.Discussion, review of past projectsDiscussion, review of past projectsSectoral review of past projectsMentode affordable energy services and enhance energy security and reliability in an environmentally sustainable manner." (page 7)Improving Lives: manner." (page 7)The energy efficiency potential of developing countries remains largely untapped.The energy efficiency potential of developing countries remains largely untapped.	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater World Bank Group.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; and environmer sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency in attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; and environmer sectoral (residential) energy efficiency efficiency in attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; efficiency energy efficiency efficiency efficiency in attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; efficiency energy efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency in attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; energy efficiency efficiency into attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; energy efficiency energy efficiency into attention to industry is given.			
GDP, as concerns the energy-income relationship in these Asian economies, we refute the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the long-run, but not vice versa. There is no short-run or long-run causal relationship in these Asian economies, we refute the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the long-run, but not vice versa. There is no short-run or long-run causal relationship in these Asian economies, we refute the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the long-run, but not vice versa. There is no short-run or long-run causal relationship in these Asian economies, we refute the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the long-run, but not vice versa. There is no short-run or long-run causal relationship in these Asian economies, we refute the neutrality hypothesis that has previously been advanced. Energy consumption is found to Granger cause GDP in the long-run, but not vice versa.World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projectsDiscussion, review of past projects"Energy price volatility, supply uncertainties, and environmental concerns are leading many countries to give greater consideration to alternatives such as renewable energy and energy efficiency that can provide affordable energy services and enhance energy security and reliability in an environmentally sustainable manner." (page 7)Household level efficiency improvements, not industry.World Bank Group.attention to industry is given.Energy efficiency that an environmentally sustainable manner." (page 7)Imanner." The energy efficiency po	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projectsDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries;"Energy price and environmer countries to gi alternatives succ efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries;"Energy price and environmer countries;World Bank Group.Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries;"Energy price and environmer countries to gi alternatives succ efficiency efficiency friciency in a tatention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries;"Energy price and environmer countries;World Bank Group.efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.attention to industry is given.The energy efficiency definitionsThe energy efficiency manner." (pag Bonn target – W	of the short-run and long-run dyn	amics of energy cons	sumption and
Image: construct of the	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projectsDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries;"Energy price and environmer countries to giBank Group Progress and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Review purpose and dealing with energy efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; and environmer sectoral (residential)"Energy price and environmer countries to gi alternatives succ efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; and environmer sectoral energy efficiency efficiency friciency from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency no specific given.Discussion, review of past energy efficiency efficiency friciency from generalizations about energy efficiency from generalizations about energy efficiency friciency in a manner." (pag The energy efficiency manner." (pag Bonn target – W	erns the energy-income relationsh	ip in these Asian eco	onomies, we
Image: Construct of the sector of the sect	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projectsDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries;"Energy price and environmer countries to gi alternatives succ efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries;"Energy price and environmer countries;World Bank Group.efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.from generalizations alternatives succesefficiency efficiencyefficiency efficiencyefficiency efficiency efficiencyefficiency efficiency efficiencyWashington DC: The World Bank Group.efficiency, no specific given.definitionsreliability in a manner." (pag The energy efficiency efficiency	trality hypothesis that has previou	isly been advanced. E	Energy
World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and Improving Lives: WorldDiscussion, success of WBG projectsDiscussion, review of pastDeveloping countries;"Energy price volatility, supply uncertainties, and environmental concerns are leading manyAll policy implications are discussed with reference to household level efficiencyBank Group Progressdealing with energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations aboutprojectssectoral (residential)countries to give greater consideration to alternatives such as renewable energy and energy efficiency that can provide affordable energy services and enhance energy security and reliability in an environmentally sustainable manner." (page 7)improvemental of developing countries remains largely untapped.	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projectsDiscussion, review of pastDeveloping countries;"Energy price and environmerBank Group Progress on Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.Review purpose and dealing with energy efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral (residential)"Energy price and environmer countries; efficiency efficiency efficiency from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral energy efficiency efficiency efficiency services and environmer efficiency attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; and environmer sectoral energy efficiency efficiency services and environmer efficiency manner." (pag The energy efficiency manner."	is found to Granger cause GDP in	the long-run, but not	t vice versa.
World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projectsDiscussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral"Energy price volatility, supply uncertainties, and environmental concerns are leading many countries to give greater consideration to alternatives such as renewable energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greaterDiscussion, review of past projects"Energy price volatility, supply uncertainties, and environmental concerns are leading many countries to give greater consideration to alternatives such as renewable energy efficiency that can provide affordable energy efficiency that can provide affordable energy efficiency no specific attention to industry is given.All policy implications are discussed with reference to household level efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiencyAll policy implications are discussed with reference to household level efficiency efficiency efficiency efficiency alternatives such as renewable energy and energy efficiency that can provide affordable energy efficiency in an environmentally sustainable manner." (page 7)All policy implications are discussed with reference to household level efficiency improvements, not industry.World Bank Group.attention to industry is given.Discussion, projectsDiscussion, review of past projectsDiscussion, review of past projectsDiscussion, review of past projectsAll policy implications are discussed with reference to household level efficiency improvements, not industry.	World Bank. (2006).Review purpose and success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency - however aside <i>and Energy Efficiency</i> Fiscal Year 2006.Review purpose and success of WBG projects efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; and environmer sectoral (residential)"Energy price and environmer countries to gi alternatives suc efficiency efficiencyWorld Bank Group.Review purpose and dealing with energy efficiency - however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater given.Discussion, review of past projectsDeveloping countries; sectoral energy efficiency efficiency definitions"Energy price and environmer countries; efficiency efficiency interview of past energy efficiency efficiency definitions"Energy price and environmer countries; efficiency efficiency interview of past energy efficiency efficiency definitions"Energy price and environmer countries; efficiency efficiency efficiency definitionsWorld Bank Group.efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.manner." (page The energy efficiency definitionsThe energy efficiency ecountries remain Bonn target – W	ort-run or long-run causal relation	nship running from G	DP to EC." (63)
Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progresssuccess of WBG projectsreview of past projectscountries; sectoraland environmental concerns are leading many countries to give greater consideration to alternatives such as renewable energy and energy efficiencydiscussed with reference to household level efficiencyon Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Fiscal Year 2006.efficiency - however aside trom generalizations aboutimprovements, not industry.Fiscal Year 2006.benefits of greaterefficiency efficiency, no specificefficiency efficiencyservices and enhance energy security and reliability in an environmentally sustainable manner." (page 7)efficiency potential of developing countries remains largely untapped.	Improving Lives: World Bank Group Progresssuccess of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater Washington DC: The World Bank Group.success of WBG projects dealing with energy efficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater given.review of past projectscountries; sectoral (residential)and environmen countries to gi alternatives success efficiency efficiency efficiency given.and environmen countries to gi alternatives success efficiency efficiency efficiency given.and environmen countries to gi alternatives success efficiency efficiency efficiency given.and environmen countries to gi alternatives success efficiency efficiency definitionsImproving Lives: World Bank Group.efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.manner." (pag The energy efficiency manner." (pag Bonn target – W	e volatility, supply uncertainties,	All policy imp	lications are
Bank Group Progressdealing with energyprojectssectoralcountries to give greater consideration tohousehold level efficiencyon Renewable Energyefficiency – however aside(residential)alternatives such as renewable energy and energyimprovements, not industry.and Energy Efficiencyfrom generalizations aboutenergyefficiencyservices and enhance energy security andefficiencyFiscal Year 2006.efficiency, no specificefficiencyefficiencyservices and enhance energy security andefficiencyWorld Bank Group.attention to industry isgiven.efficiencyfreeregy efficiency potential of developing countries remains largely untapped.efficiency	Bank Group Progressdealing with energyprojectssectoralcountries to gion Renewable Energyefficiency – however aside(residential)alternatives sucand Energy Efficiencyfrom generalizations aboutenergyefficiency that ofFiscal Year 2006.benefits of greaterefficiency, no specificefficiencyWashington DC: Theefficiency, no specificdefinitionsreliability in aworld Bank Group.attention to industry isgiven.The energy efficiencyBonn target – W	ental concerns are leading many	discussed with	reference to
on Renewable Energy efficiency – however aside (residential) alternatives such as renewable energy and energy improvements, not industry. and Energy Efficiency from generalizations about energy efficiency that can provide affordable energy improvements, not industry. Fiscal Year 2006. benefits of greater efficiency services and enhance energy security and efficiency Washington DC: The efficiency, no specific definitions reliability in an environmentally sustainable energy World Bank Group. attention to industry is given. The energy efficiency potential of developing energy Countries remains largely untapped. energy efficiency interpretential services remains largely untapped. energy	on Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiencyefficiency – however aside from generalizations about benefits of greater(residential) energy efficiency efficiency definitionsalternatives suc efficiency that of efficiency definitionsWashington DC: The World Bank Group.efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given.efficiency manner." (pag The energy efficiency manner." (pag The energy efficiency manner." (pag The energy efficiency	give greater consideration to	household leve	el efficiency
and Energy Efficiency from generalizations about energy efficiency efficiency services and enhance energy security and Fiscal Year 2006. benefits of greater efficiency services and enhance energy security and Washington DC: The efficiency, no specific definitions reliability in an environmentally sustainable World Bank Group. attention to industry is given. The energy efficiency potential of developing countries remains largely untapped. countries remains largely untapped. for energy efficiency potential of developing	and Energy Efficiencyfrom generalizations aboutenergyefficiency that ofFiscal Year 2006.benefits of greaterefficiencyservices and eWashington DC: Theefficiency, no specificdefinitionsreliability in aWorld Bank Group.attention to industry isgiven.The energy efficiencyBonn target – W	ch as renewable energy and energ	y improvement	s, not industry.
Fiscal Year 2006. benefits of greater efficiency services and enhance energy security and Washington DC: The efficiency, no specific definitions reliability in an environmentally sustainable World Bank Group. attention to industry is given. The energy efficiency potential of developing Countries remains largely untapped. Finition of developing Finition of developing	Fiscal Year 2006. benefits of greater efficiency services and e Washington DC: The efficiency, no specific definitions reliability in a World Bank Group. attention to industry is given. The energy efficiency Bonn target – W Bonn target – W Bonn target – W	can provide affordable energy		
Washington DC: The World Bank Group. efficiency, no specific attention to industry is given. definitions reliability in an environmentally sustainable manner." (page 7) The energy efficiency potential of developing countries remains largely untapped. reliability in an environmentally sustainable	Washington DC: The efficiency, no specific definitions reliability in a World Bank Group. attention to industry is given. The energy efficiency efficiency endities Bonn target – W Bonn target – W Bonn target – W	enhance energy security and		
World Bank Group. attention to industry is given. manner." (page 7) The energy efficiency potential of developing countries remains largely untapped. countries remains largely untapped.	World Bank Group. attention to industry is given. manner." (pag The energy efficiency of the energy efficience of the energy e	an environmentally sustainable		
given. The energy efficiency potential of developing countries remains largely untapped.	given. The energy efficiency of the countries remain Bonn target – W	ige 7)		
countries remains largely untapped.	countries remai Bonn target – W	ficiency potential of developing		
	Ronn target – W	uins largely untapped.		
Bonn target – WBG adopted a target of a 20%		WBG adopted a target of a 20%		
average annual growth in energy efficiency and	average annual	l growth in energy efficiency and		
new renewable energy commitments between	new renewable	e energy commitments between		
	fiscal years 200	005 and 2009		

				"The energy security of countries can be enhanced in many ways with the help of renewable energy and energy efficiency, including by diversifying fuels used and the sources from which they come, enhancing availability by increasing supply and demand- side energy efficiency, reducing energy infrastructure vulnerability through the use of distributed energy, and promoting good governance and equitable energy sector rent distribution to reduce political and social divisions." (8)	
UNDP-Kenya. (2006). Investors guide to energy efficiency. Nairobi: United Nations Development Programme.	Discussion of benefits and best practices regarding energy efficiency investments, focus on industry	None discussion only	Kenya; data is anecdotal	Benefits of energy efficiency: "At the national level it improves economic competitiveness, reduces the country's import bill, improves the balance of trade, creates jobs, and thereby reduces poverty. It also improves security of energy supply, a matter of particular interest to Kenya which imports all her petroleum requirements." (page 5)	Industries with the highest potential for benefits from improved efficiency: Iron and steel processing; Chemicals processing; Petroleum refining; Pulp and paper manufacturing; and Cement manufacturing.
				"The industrial and commercial sectors in Kenya are genuinely concerned that the high cost of energy erodes the competitiveness of their products in the local, regional and international markets. Effective energy efficiency measures would result in lower production costs of goods and services and thus improved competitiveness of Kenyan products, higher productivity, increased profits, good prospects for new capacity investment and general strengthening of the manufacturing sector. This would also be reflected in increased job opportunities and generally improved economic activities within the country. Energy efficiency would, moreover, reduce overall demand for energy and thereby defer capital investments needed to provide additional energy supplies. " (5)	Much of Kenyan industry is characterized by "antiquated machinery." " Energy Audits " are required to determine best policy or method to improve efficiency. An "energy audit" may be in the form of analysis of historical data, screening & survey, or detailed investigation and analysis.

Stern, D. I., & Cleveland, C. J. (2004). <i>Energy and Economic</i> <i>Growth.</i> Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. Troy: Rensselaer Working Papers in Economics.	This paper reviews the relevant biophysical theory, models of growth, the critiques of models, and the various mechanisms that can weaken the links between energy and growth.	 This paper surveys the interature on the effect of changes in energy supply on economic growth in general in both developing and developed countries. Very little dealt directly with energy efficiency and/or industry. 		"The first law of thermodynamics (the conservation law) implies the mass-balance principle (Ayres and Kneese, 1969). In order to obtain a given material output greater or equal quantities of matter must be used as inputs with the residual a pollutant or waste product. Therefore, there are minimal material input requirements for any production process producing material outputs. The second law of thermodynamics (the efficiency law) implies that a minimum quantity of energy is required to carry out the transformation of matter. All production involves the transformation or movement of matter in some way. Some form of matter must be moved or transformed though particular elements and chemicals may be substitutable. Therefore there must be limits to the substitution of other factors of production for energy. All economic processes must, therefore, require energy, so that energy is always an essential factor of production (Stern, 1997a). " (page 4) "Howarth (1997) argues persuasively that the rebound effect is less than the initial innovation induced reduction in energy use, so improvements in energy efficiency		
UNDP. (2000). World Energy Assessment. New York, NY, USA: United Nations Development Programme.	Relevant discussion to energy security concerns	Various	Global Economy and sectoral data	do, in fact, reduce total energy demand. " (21-22). "Energy is similarly indispensable for continued human development and economic growth. Providing adequate, affordable energy is essential for eradicating poverty, improving human welfare, and raising living standards worldwide. And without economic growth, it will be difficult to address environmental challenges, especially those associated with poverty. " (31)	Thus poverty alleviation in developing countries should involve the energy strategy of universal access to adequate, affordable, reliable, high- quality, safe, and environmentally benign modern energy services, particularly for cooking, lighting, income generation, and transport "(59)	
				"In Africa per capita energy use has barely increased since 1970 and remains at less than 10 percent of per capita use in North America (annex table C2). The same is true for Asia despite a near-doubling in per capita energy use since 1970. In essence this means that most Africans and Asians have no access to commercial energy. Latin America saw little improvement, while China and especially the Middle East made above-average progress in providing access to modern energy services." (33) "The link between energy use and economic activity is neither static nor uniform across regions. In the past, energy and economic development were closely related. But this relationship does not necessarily hold at higher levels of economic development. During 1960–78 changes in primary energy use and GDP grew at the same rate in OECD countries (figure 1.1). Thereafter, a change in elasticity between		

				energy and economic activity suggests that the ofter	n-postulated one-to-one
				relationship between primary energy use and econo	mic activity can be changed, at
				least temporarily. Because of its versatility, convent	ience, cleanliness (at point of
				use), and productivity-enhancing features, the increa	ase in electricity use has outpaced
				GDP growth in all regions—often by a large margin	n. In addition, the efficiency of
				converting electricity from final energy to energy s	ervices is the highest of all fuels.
				"(34)	C
				It appears that economies are more sensitive to pric	e changes than to price levels
				"Energy security—the continuous availability of en	ergy in varied forms, in sufficient
				quantities, and at reasonable prices—has several as	pects. It means limited
				vulnerability to transient or longer disruptions of in	ported supplies. It also means the
				availability of local and imported resources to meet	growing demand over time and
				at reasonable prices" (112)	88
				Energy insecurity and shortages affect countries in	two ways: they handicap
				productive activities, and they undermine consumer	welfare. Energy insecurity
				discourages investors by threatening production and	l increasing costs. Shortages in
				electricity supplies (as in many developing countrie	s) require more investment for
				on-site electricity production or standby supplies. F	or small investors, the cost of
				operation is increased, since electricity from private	small-scale generation is more
				expensive than public national supplies (113)	
				"For any economy an unreliable energy supply rest	ults in both short- and long-term
				costs. The costs are measured in terms of loss of we	lfare and production and the
				adjustments that consumers (such as firms) facing u	unreliable fuel and electric power
				supplies undertake to mitigate their losses. Interrup	tions in supply may trigger loss of
				production costs related to product spoilage and d	amage to equipment. The extent
				of these direct economic costs depends on a host of	factors such as advance
				notification duration of the interruption and timing	of the interruption which relates
				to the time of day or season and to the prevailing m	arket conditions and demand for
				the firm's output. These direct costs can be very his	the In addition the according is
				affected indirectly because of the secondary costs th	at arise from the interdependence
				between one firm's output and another firm's input	"(112)
				between one min s output and another min s input.	(115)
Taylor, R.,	This book reviews the	Review of	Brazil,	In the world as a whole, but especially in these	The challenge for
Govindarajalu, C.,	reasons for the success or	projects' success	China, India	rapidly growing developing countries,	governments in this case is to
Levin, J., Meyer, A. S.,	tailure of a range of recent	and failures	and	efficiency improvements to generate more	influence the broad technology
& Ward, W. A. (2008).	energy efficiency programs		developing	economic output with less energy input is	choice decisions of investors
Financing Energy	in developing countries and		nations as a	essential for reasons of energy supply security,	and encourage them to adopt
Efficiency: Lessons	economies in transition.		bloc.	economic competitiveness, improvement in	energy efficiency solutions.

from Brazil, China,			Economy	livelihoods, and environmental sustainability." (3)	The main tools that
India and Beyond.			level energy		governments can use to
Washington DC: The			use.		intervene here are policy" (4)
World Bank Group.				"Eighty percent of the world's economic growth	
				during 2004-30 is expected to occur in the non-	
				OECD countries. As the developing countries	
				seek to gain at least a modest level of	
				prosperity, their energy demand is expected to	
				almost double in the IEA Reference Scenario,	
				adding 4.2 btoe to global demand" (24)	
				"In addition to the mobilization of resources to	
				meet rising energy demand, a leading concern of	
				most countries is ensuring their security of	
				energy supply. Most countries are net energy	
				importers, and rely on energy trade to obtain the	
				mix of new energy sources needed, affecting	
				their trade balances. A related concern is the	
				prospect of sharp price increases and overall	
				volatility in energy costs, stemming from	
				tightening supply and potential disruptions in	
				delivery." (26)	
Sorrell, S., &	This paper examines the	Literature review	Universal	Direct rebound effects: "Improved energy	"The policy implication is that
Dimitropoulos, J.	definition and		definitions	efficiency for a particular energy service will	non-price regulations to
(2008). The rebound	measurement of the direct		are the	decrease the effective price of that service and	improve energy efficiency may
effect: Microeconomic	rebound effect for		focus, with	should therefore lead to an increase in	neither reduce energy demand
definitions, limitations	individual energy services.		some	consumption of that service. This will tend to	nor help to mitigate climate
and extensions.	Indirect and economy-wide		anecdotal	offset the reduction in energy consumption	change." (637)
Ecological Economics ,	effects are not discussed.		references to	provided by the efficiency improvement" (637)	
65, 636-649.	The focus throughout is on		the US	Indirect effects: "The lower effective price of	
	energy efficiency			the energy service may lead to changes in the	
	improvements in consumer			demand for other goods, services, and factors of	
	goods.			production that also require energy for their	
				provision. For example, the cost savings obtained	
				from a more efficient central heating system	
				may be put towards an overseas holiday" (637)	

				Economy wide effects: "A fall in the real price of energy services may reduce the price of intermediate and final goods throughout the economy, leading to a series of price and quantity adjustments, with energy-intensive goods and sectors likely to gain at the expense of less energy-intensive ones."	
Sorrell, S. (2009). Jevons' Paradox revisited: The evidence for backfire from	"While the evidence remains ambiguous, the central argument is that energy—and by implication	Literature review/critique	Global or n/a. macro level focus.	"Since energy-efficiency improvements reduce the marginal cost of energy services such as travel, the consumption of those services may be expected to increase. This increased consumption of energy services may be expected to offset some or all of the predicted reduction in energy consumption. " (1457)	s ;
efficiency. <i>Energy</i> <i>Policy</i> , <i>37</i> , 2310-2317.	efficiency—plays a significantly more important role in economic growth than is assumed within mainstream economics. " (1457)		Box 1-Indirect rebou Embodied energy ei manufacture and ins Re-spending effect services which them heating system may Output effects. Pr consumption of capi sector wide, they ma consumption. All su increased consumption Energy consumption generating an extra Composition effect of energy-intensive consumer demand to (page 1457)	Ind effects. <i>Hects</i> : The equipment used to improve energy efficiency (e.g. thermal insulation) will itself require energy t tall and this 'embodied' energy consumption will offset some of the energy savings achieved. Ats Consumers may use the cost savings from energy-efficiency improvements to purchase other goods an selves require energy to provide. As an extreme example, the cost savings from a more energy-efficient centre be put towards an overseas holiday, leading to an increase in kerosene consumption. oducers may use the cost savings from energy-efficiency improvements to increase output, thereby increasin tal, labour and materials which themselves require energy to provide. If the energy-efficiency improvements any lead to lower product prices, increased consumption of the relevant products and further increases in energy of improvements increase the overall productivity of the economy, thereby encoursging economic growth on of goods and services and increased energy consumption. <i>Fects</i> : Large-scale reduction in energy demand may translate into lower energy prices which will encourag to increase. The reduction in energy prices will also increase real income, thereby encouraging investment an stimulus to aggregate output and energy use. <i>Its:</i> Both the energy-efficiency improvements and the associated reductions in energy prices will reduce the cost goods and services to a greater extent than non-energy-intensive goods and services, thereby encouraging o shift towards the former.	to nd rai ng gy th, ge nd sst ng

	Box 2-Defining ener Energy efficiency m individual energy co the measure of ener 1996). The options if Thormodynamic . Physical measure GDP. When outputs and are measured in ecc 'energy intensity'. To consideration can vs influenced by a great Henca, the indicator consumption within Economists are p resources. These en factor' productivity (price of energy relat growth in economic changes in relative p (page 1459)	gy efficiency. ay be defined as the ratio of useful outputs to energy inputs for a enversion device (e.g. a boiler), a building, an industrial process, a firm rgy efficiency will depend upon how 'useful' is defined and how inpu- nelude: messures: where the outputs are defined in terms of either hest com- messures: where the outputs are defined in physical terms, such as vehicle is a measured in thermodynamic or physical terms, the term energy eff anomic terms it is more common to use the term 'energy productivit the choice of messures for inputs and outputs, the appropriate sys any widely from one study to another. However, physical and econom ter range of variables than thermodynamic messures, as do measure that is furthest from a thermodynamic measure of energy efficiency a national economy. orimarily interested in energy-efficiency improvements that are cor a conventionally divided into two categories: those that are essocial 'technical change'), and those that are not ('substitution'). The latter if you to other inputs. The consequences of technical change are of par output. However, distinguishing empirically between these two categories prices also induce technical change.	system. The system in question may be an , a sector or an entire economy. In all cases, buts and outputs are measured (Petterson, sent or the capacity to perform useful work; ilometres or tonnes of steel; or in economic terms, such as value-added or iciency tends to be used, but when outputs y'. The inverse of both measures is termed term boundaries and the timeframe under ic measures of energy efficiency tend to be as appropriate to wider system boundaries, is the ratio of GDP to total primary energy sistent with the best use of all economic ted with improvements in overall, or 'total a sesumed to be induced by changes in the theular Interest, since this contributes to the pories can be challenging, not least because
		"While many studies demonstrate strong	"This review suggests several
		correlations between economic output and	possible avenues for research,
		energy consumption, the extent to which the	which may supplement
		growth in economic output can be considered a	attempts to quantify rebound
		cause of the increased energy consumption, or	effects. First, econometric and
		vice versa, remains unclear. "(1460)	decomposition techniques
		"The conventional wisdom (as represented by	could be used to better
		both neoclassical and 'endogenous' growth	understand the source of
		theory) is that increases in energy inputs play a	changes in aggregate energy
		relatively minor role in economic growth, largely	efficiency (e.g. the relative
		because energy accounts for a relatively small	contribution of structural
		share of total costs" (1460)	change, technical change, input
		"This view has been contested by ecological	substitution, changes in fuel
		economists, who argue instead that the increased	mix and other factors) (Sue
		availability of 'high quality' energy inputs has	wing, 2008). Second, these
		been the primary driver of economic growth	techniques could also be used
		over the last two centuries" (1460)	to investigate the extent to

				"Cleveland et al. (1984) claim that a strong link exists between <i>quality adjusted</i> energy use and economic output and this link will continue to exist, both temporally and cross-sectionally. This contrasts with the conventional wisdom that energy consumption has been 'decoupled' from economic growth. They also claim that a large component of increased labour productivity over the past 70 years has resulted from empowering workers with increased quantities of energy, both directly and indirectly as embodied in capital equipment and technology. This contrasts with the conventional wisdom that productivity improvements have resulted from technical change. Other ecological economists argue that the productivity of energy inputs is substantially greater than the share of energy in total costs – again in contradiction to the conventional wisdom."	which different types of energy efficiency improvement are associated with improvements in the productivity of other inputs and with improvements in total factor productivity." (1468)
				"if increases in energy inputs contribute dispropor productivity improvements and economic growth, the thermodynamic efficiency may do the same. Convecting inputs contribute little to productivity improvements neither should improvements in thermodynamic efficiency.	rtionately to total factor hen improvements in ersely, if increases in energy s and economic growth, then ciency."
Madlener, R., & Alcott, B. (2009). Energy Rebound and Economic Growth: A review of the main issues and research needs. <i>Energy</i> , <i>34</i> (4), 370-76.	"This paper summarises some of the discussions around the rebound effect, puts it into perspective to economic growth, and provides some insights at the end that can guide future empirical research on the rebound topic. " (1)	Summary of existing debates/ studies	Economy level focus	 "A commonly found argument in standard growth theory literature is that technical change and factor substitution can effectively de-couple economic growth from the demand for resources and environmental services" (7). "Energy efficiency, as part of the technical progress in neo-classical growth theory, is conventionally seen as a driver of economic growth" (7). "A further development of endogenous growth 	"Increases in energy efficiency are no panacea for either energy conservation or economic growth and welfare; demand saturation and substitutability of input factors matter a great deal, and both of them change over time, as do our needs and wants." (p. 9)

				models to also account for rebound effects renders hope that in the future the relationship between economic growth, technical change and resource use (and eventually the size of various rebound effects on the macroeconomic level) can be better modeled and understood."	
Lovins, A. (2005, September). More profit with less carbon. <i>Scientific American</i> , 74-82.	Advocating greater efficiency as a key to both econ growth and lower carbon, seeks to clarify some misconceptions.	Non theoretic discussion	USA; data is at sectoral or national level	"These sharp-penciled firms, and dozens like them, know that energy efficiency improves the bottom line and yields even more valuable side benefits: higher quality and reliability in energy efficient factories, 6 to 16% higher labour productivity in efficient offices, and 40 percent higher sales in stores skilfully designed to be illuminated primarily by daylight.	
				These savings act like a huge universal tax cut that also reduces the federal deficit. Far from dampening global development, lower energy bills accelerate it. The greatest opportunities, though, are in developing countries, which are on average three times less efficient than the U.S." (7)	

Table 3	Barriers to entry	v of technol	logies and best	practices for	policymaking	5
Table 5	Darriers to chir	y or accumo	logics and best	practices for	poncymaxing	Ś.

Source	Purpose	Data/focus of	Barriers to industrial implementation of	Policy solutions
		study	efficient technologies	
Reddy, A. K. (1991). Barriers to improvements in	"to create a typology of the possible barriers to energy-efficiency	Based on experience in India	Ignorance of available tech improvements	Provide information in various ways, train consumers (households and industry, all energy- users).
energy efficiency. Energy Policy, 19 (10), 953-961.	improvements, to explore their origin and to suggest measures that, by themselves or in		Poor and/or first-cost sensitive	Convert the initial down-payment into a payments stream that coincides in time with the savings stream; innovative financing
	conjunction with other measures, will surmount them."		Indifference to energy costs in equipment purchases	Imperative government intervention. Realistic pricing, regulate appliances/machinery responsible for poor energy efficiencies, and energy rationing are possible solutions.
			Helpless/inability to install and maintain new equipment	Necessary to nurture an efficiency-improvement industry to "provide consumers with the expertise in the form of total hardware plus software packages" (954).
			Uncertainty about energy prices	Stabilize or "slowly change energy prices over the long term and/or financing the investments and recovery at a guaranteed rate" (954).
			Inherited inefficient machinery/ indirect purchase decisions (often where burden of capital investment falls on builder/landlord and paying of bills rests with owner/tenant)	Labelling equipment with energy performance to provide better information to all parties
			Lack of end-use efficient equipment availability – manufacturers may fail to produce if greater efficiency actually reduces revenue/sales	Enforced efficiency standards and labelling of equipment. Also, legal approvals and financing that is dependent on energy efficiency and standards can help.
			Uninterested government (particularly a problem in developing countries)	Popularize energy efficiency development strategy; create public pressure "do dismantle this barrier" (957).

Skills-short government	Implement extensive and intensive training programs
Government without adequate training facilities	"special programmes to develop the required training facilities and to build up a cadre of trainers." "represents an opportunity for collaboration both with other developing and industrialized countries." (957).
Government without access to hardware and software	Provide "access through continuously updated menus of technologies for a particular energy service as well as menus of policies to implement an improvement in a particular service" (958).
Capital-short government of an infrastructure-poor country	"this barrier has to be tackled by international aid and funding agencies in the same way as in the case of poor and first-cost sensitive consumers: the first costs must be converted through loans or aid into operating costs"(958).
Powerless energy-efficiency agency	Locate "energy-efficiency agency outside and above the energy system and under a sufficiently high political authority to ensure that required measures are implemented across all sectors and entities" (958).
Cost-blind price-fixer –"energy prices in developing countries seldom reflect real costs of generating energy and the true costs to society"	Move "towards long-run marginal cost pricing and by ensuring that efficiency improvements are implemented along with price increases" (958).
Fragmented decision-maker	Ensure "that efficiency improvements are made part of the same investment decision as energy supply expansion and that they are made in the same office by the same decision-maker. Also, efficiency improvements should be included in the least-cost planning process" (958).
Inefficient-technology exporter - developing countries	"assistance with technology assessment, by

		depend on importing less-efficient technologies from developed countries Supply-biased international assistance	favouring energy-efficient technologies in aid programmes and by supporting technological leap-frogging in developing countries" (959). Development must be measured by the level of energy services not the magnitude of energy consumption. Also, requires including efficiency improvements in the list of options
			for providing services and pursuing least-cost
		 Four criteria that must be satisfied by a successful large-scateconomic potential: it deals with the high consumer discount rate probetit is profitable for the companies involved it can avoid penalizing non-participants it can ensure that estimated savings are close to a Promoting innovation rather than efficiency is also an effect 	ale programme that seeks to capture the full elem actual savings tive way to improve energy efficiency.
UNDP. (2000). World Energy Assessment. New York, NY, USA: United Nations Development Programme.	P. 206: Obstacles and M Efficiency Policy (In gene	arket Imperfections for Energy Efficiency and Related Policie eral, not restricted to Industrial efficiency)	es: A scheme for Policy Options and Integrated



		making in households, companies, and
		making in nousenoids, companies, and
		administrations. Finally, an innerent obstacle is
		the fact that most energy efficiency investments
		remain invisible and do not contribute to
		politicians' public image. The invisibility of
		energy efficiency measures (in contrast to
		photovoltaic or solar thermal collectors) and the
		difficulty of demonstrating and quantifying their
		impacts are also important. Aspects of social
		prestige influence the decisions on efficiency of
		private households-as when buying large cars
		(Sanstad and Howarth, 1994; Johchem, Sathave,
		and Bouille, 2000)." (200).
McKane, A., Price, L., & de la Rue du Can, S.	"Energy-intensive industries account for more than half	The Industrial Standards Framework proposes a
(2007). Policies for Promoting Industrial Energy	of the industrial sector's energy consumption in many	link between ISO 9000/14000 quality and
Efficiency in Developing Countries and	developing countries (Dasgupta and Roy, 2000; IEA,	environmental management systems and
Transition Economies. Background Paper for the	2003a; IEA, 2003b)" (9).	industrial energy efficiency.
UNIDO Side Event on Sustainable Industrial	"The disappointing results from these misapplications	Industrial standards framework includes:
Development (pp. 1-87). Vienna: UNIDO.	can provide a serious disincentive for any subsequent	target-setting agreements,
	effort to achieve greater energy efficiency" (6).	an energy management standard,
This paper presents a portfolio of policy options	"The key to effective industrial energy efficiency	system optimization training and tools,
under the organizing structure of the Industrial	policy is consistency, transparency, engagement of	capacity building to create system optimization
Standards Framework	industry in program design and implementation, and,	experts, now and in the future,
	most importantly, allowance for flexibility of industry	a System Optimization Library to document and
	response" (2).	sustain energy efficiency gains, and
	Some reasons for investment in energy efficiency:	tax incentives and recognition.
	Cost reduction; Improved operational reliability and	In addition, the Framework could accommodate:
	control; Improved product quality; Reduced waste	standardized system optimization methodologies
	stream; Ability to increase production without	certification of energy efficiency projects for
	requiring additional, and possibly constrained, energy	trading energy efficiency credits
	supply; Avoidance of capital expenditures through	The purpose of the Framework is to introduce a
	greater utilization of existing equipment assets:	standardized and transparent methodology into
	Recognition as a "green company"; and Access to	industrial energy efficiency projects and
	investor capital through demonstration of effective	practices; and builds on existing knowledge of
	management practices.	best practices.
	"Luken (2007) compares regional levels of energy use	Target setting agreements that "provide strong
	intensities in 2004 and calculates that if all developing	economic incentives as well as technical and

countries met the developed country average manufacturing energy use intensity, energy consumption could potentially be reduced by 70%" (11).	 financial support to participating industries" "have been used by a number of governments as a mechanism for promoting energy efficiency within the industrial sector." (p. 30) Key elements of a target setting program: target-setting process; identifying energy-saving technologies and measures, using energy-efficiency tools, guidebooks; benchmarking current energy efficiency practices, establishing an energy management plan (see Section 4.3 below); conducting energy-efficiency audits; developing an energy-savings action plan; developing incentives and supporting policies; measuring and monitoring progress toward targets, and program evaluation.
Potential industrial energy efficiency gains are larger in developing countries "where old, inefficient technologies have continued to be used to meet growing material demands" (3).	<u>Energy Management Standards</u> – provides guidance for industrial facilities to integrate energy efficiency into their management practices by requiring facilities to develop energy management plans.
A focus on individual component energy efficiency means a potential failure to adopt processes, which would improve the whole system efficiency. System energy efficiency requires attention to the entire system.	System Optimization and Capacity Building – seeks to design an industrial system to achieve "a balance between cost and use that applies energy resources as efficiently as possible" (47). Generally, this kind of optimization is not taught in universities and requires additional special training to create a "cadre of highly skilled system optimization experts."
"The presence of energy-efficient components, while important, provides no assurance that an industrial system will be energy-efficient. Misapplication of energy-efficient equipment (such as variable speed drives) in these systems is common." (5-6).	Documenting for Sustainability – "ISO 9000/14000 Series Standards would require continuously monitoring an organization's adherence to the new energy system-operating paradigm" (49). Also, a systems optimization

			library would better enable firms to comply
			with the energy management standards and
			energy efficiency projects.
Meyers, S. (1998).	"This report represents	Macroeconomic conditions –	Improving information about energy efficiency
Improving energy	a framework for	Low level of competition among firms resulting from	opportunities
efficiency:	considering market-	regulation of the domestic market and/or policies that	Marketing and consumer education
strategies for	oriented strategies for	constrain entry of imported products into the market	Information systems and databases
supporting	improving energy	High tariffs on imported goods	Decisions support tools
sustained market	efficiency that	Low level of capital market development	Best practices guidelines
evolution in	recognize the	High rate of inflation	Common user specifications
developing and	conditions of	Uncertain status of firms (in transitioning economies)	Demonstrations
transitioning	developing	High level of income inequality	Product labelling and rating (comparison or
countries. Berkeley:	countries." Discusses	Weaknesses in the legal framework	endorsement)
Lawrence Berkeley	policies to overcome		Energy audits
Laboratory.	barriers.	Energy pricing prices - may not reflect cost of supply	Financing of energy efficiency investments
		due to lack of marginal cost pricing or time-of-day	Leasing
		pricing, or the presence of price subsidies	Performance contracting (transfers some tech
		prices do not incorporate externalities	and management risk away, minimizes up-front
		weak feedback between energy consumption and	cash requirements)
		payment for energy	Vendor financing
			Special-purpose funds (across specific end-uses,
			where credit analysis can be reduced by having
			similar end-user credits, where capital demand is
			large enough to justify a fund, and to assist an
			existing association in marketing its finance
			program to its members)
			Utility financing programs
		International flows of capital, technology, and	Minimum efficiency standards
		knowledge	Equipment efficiency standards
		restrictions on capital flows (unreliable and restrictive	Building energy codes
		policies, and fluctuating exchange rates) restrictions on	
		technology flows (MNC practices and governmental	
		policies, small market size/inability to gain local	
		production technologies) barriers to knowledge and	
		communication flows (lack of resources including	
		publications and reliable internet access)	
		Institutional weaknesses - inadequate education and	Market aggregation and technology procurement

			research institutions government institutions lacking	Bulk purchases
			trained personnel	Durk purchases
			financial institutions lacking experience with relevant	
			investments and financing schemes	
			electric utilities lack of incentives to improve end use	
			officiency lack of skilled staff to design/menage	
			enficiency, lack of skilled staff to design/manage	
			programs	T 7 1
			Market benaviour and features –	voluntary commitment and recognition
			barriers on the demand side of the market (lack of	
			information; irrational behaviour – insignificant energy	
			costs, different priorities, no clear responsibility for	
			managing energy costs, demand for rapid payback on	
			investments/high discount rate; misplaced incentives;	
			limited access to financing)	
			Barriers on the supply side – (Limited availability of	
			products or services, weakness of suppliers in market	
			research, weakness of suppliers in product	
			development, weak marketing capabilities of suppliers,	
			low level of information exchange within an industry)	
			Features of energy-efficient products or services -	Financial Incentives for energy efficiency
			performance uncertainties of new and unfamiliar	investments most common consumer programs:
			technologies, worsened when coupled with high initial	consumer rebates or grants, low or zero-interest
			cost requirements	loans, tax credits, accelerated depreciation of
			high first cost	energy-saving technologies, and no-cost direct
			transaction costs	installation manufacturer incentives have the
			Inseparability of product features	benefit of less paperwork and lower admin costs,
				and possibly larger reduction in retail product
				price
Praetorius, B., &	Discussion of common	Recommendations	Informational Barriers: "Information is expensive, or	To overcome barriers:
Blevl, J. W. (2006).	barriers to energy	and lessons specific	does not exist, or is not available to an extent that	1) diversify risk by bundling many small risks
Improving the	efficient investments	to South Africa, but	would permit an efficient investment decision.	2) tech or innovation diffusion can be promoted
institutional	and the best design of	discusses several	Understanding and valuating information presumes a	by disseminating information on pilot studies or
structures for	an energy agency.	other experiences	certain level of skills. Asymmetric information causes	projects and by large-scale programmes
disseminating		with EAs.	distrust and conservative behaviour. These harriers are	3) successful and innovative energy efficiency
efficiency in			particularly relevant on the level of the individual	policies are also connected to an appropriate and
emerging nations: a			households" (1521)	efficient institutional setting
case study for			Financial harriars: "Many consumers will not make	errerent institutional setting.
case study 101			Financial barriers. Many consumers will not make	

energy agencies in		investments in energy efficiency because they lack	
South Africa.		capital to buy new energy-efficient equipment or make	
Energy Policy, 34,		the required retrofit in their installations" (1521)	
1420-1531.		Technological barriers and infrastructure: "Several	
		opportunities to produce and to conserve energy depend	
		on new technologies that may not be available in some	
		countries or regions. Also, many new and efficient	
		technologies incorporate electronic components which	
		rely on good quality power to operate." (1521-22)	
		Bounded rationality: "linked to the first barrier	
		above, i.e. information cost: Based on his/her	
		experience, it may at least seem (or even be) rational to	
		avoid further information cost and to take a	
		"satisficing" rather than a theoretically optimal	
		decision" (1522).	
		Discrepancies in discount rate: "Innovative energy	
		efficiency investments or programmes often involve a	
		number of actors with different perceptions of costs	
		and benefits, risks and uncertainties. Utilities, large	
		consumers and government can more easily afford	
		investments with longer pay-back periods" (1522)	
		Diversity of investment criteria and limited resources:	
		"Even when a certain investment in energy efficiency is	
		cost effective, it may not be the first investment	
		criterion." Also, inconveniences may be related to new	
		energy technologies, and the necessary investment may	
		therefore be declined. (1522).	
Farell D &	Developing countries	Information barriers	"Reduce energy subsidies as they tend to lower
Remes $I_{(2009)}$	Developing countries		energy productivity" (3)
Promoting energy		Capital constraints	Provide incentives to utilities to improve energy
efficiency in the		Cuptur constraints	efficiency and to encourage their customers
developing world			(including industries) to do the same
McKinsey &		Insulation from true price of energy	Implement and enforce energy efficiency
Company		insulation from the price of energy	standards to boost production of more efficient
company.			appliances and equipment and to reduce their
			cost
		Today's tighter andit markets are making serv	Encouraça "nublic private portnorshine, such as
		Today's lighter credit markets are making any	Encourage public-private partnersnips, such as

		investments more difficult, even less risky one such as	collaborations between governments, energy
		those in energy enterency	companies, to finance higher energy efficiency
			in buildings" (5).
Taylor, R.,		Brazil, China and India faced the following key	
Govindarajalu, C.,		impediments to energy efficiency investment: "current	
Levin, J., Meyer, A.		high transaction costs; perceived high risks driving up	
S., & Ward, W. A.		the implicit discount rates associated with projects; and	
(2008). Financing		difficulties in structuring workable contracts for	
Energy Efficiency:		preparing, financing, and implementing energy	
Lessons from		efficiency investments" (50).	
Brazil, China, India		Common impediments:	
and Beyond.		lack of information	
Washington DC:		 lack of trained personnel or technical or managerial 	
The World Bank		expertise	
Group.		• below long-run marginal cost pricing and other price	
		distortions	
		(in some cases)	
		 regulatory biases or absence 	
		high transaction costs	
		high initial capital cost or lack of access to credit	
		high user discount rates	
		• mismatch of the incidence of investment costs and	
		energy savings	
		• higher perceived risks of the more efficient technology	
		Also:	
		missing or incomplete markets, in particular for	
		financial risk	
		Political and economic uncertainty	
		Weak contracting institutions (legal systems) result in	
		insecure contracts with low certainty of equitable	
		enforcement	
Energy Sector		Energy efficiency promotion activities for Industry:	Findings of the 3 country study: "Overall, the
Management		Regulation measures	conclusions show that success requires careful
Assistance Program.		Tax incentives	diagnostic work at the beginning of the project,
(2006). Energy		Energy efficiency funds and low interest loans	flexibility in design and arrangements to cover
Efficiency		Performance codes, standards, incentives and regulations	high labor intensities during implementation

Investment Forum:		Mandatory/compulsory energy efficiency targets	and program development. The World Bank
Scaling up		Technical assistance and small business programs	found that the development of financially viable
Financing in the		Energy audits for factories	energy savings projects remains blocked by the
Developing World.		Product labelling, rating, certification and retro-	underdeveloped state of project delivery
Washington DC:		commissioning	mechanisms. Developing appropriate delivery
The World Bank		Energy conservation management	mechanisms is an institutional issue which must
Group.		Recognition programs, technology adaptation and	be addressed as delivery mechanisms serve
		upgrades; and bulk procurements	market development, project identification and
			financing functions. Well-running project
			delivery mechanisms must match local
			institutional environments. The main project
			delivery options include energy efficiency
			lending programs through local banks, partial risk
			loan guarantee programs, direct financial
			investment, revolving loan programs, ESCOs and
			utility DSM programs" (32).

Printed in Austria V.11-87135—December 2011—300



UNITED NATIONS INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION Vienna International Centre, P.O. Box 300, 1400 Vienna, Austria Telephone: (+43-1) 26026-0, Fax: (+43-1) 26926-69 E-mail: unido@unido.org, Internet: www.unido.org